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## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Sangamon County Citizen Survey is a collaborative effort between the UIS Center for State Policy \& Leadership, the Community Foundation for the Land of Lincoln, and the United Way of Central Illinois. The project's purpose is to establish benchmarks and assess changes in residents' assessments of the quality of life in Sangamon County. The periodic survey provides local leaders, community organizations, and citizens with longitudinal insight into issues facing local residents. Each survey includes core items that serve as barometers of public opinion as well as topic-specific items that will provide timely data for local leaders. The following areas are covered in the 2019 survey: social well-being, day to day life, population, legal changes, equality, success, the economy, civic engagement, leadership, personal health, social health, the environment, and culture/recreation.

Since its outset, the survey has been guided by a steering committee of representatives from the community. The committee provides input into the questionnaire by including topics of interest to leaders in the county. The inaugural survey was conducted in 2013 while a second survey was completed in 2015 . The 2017 study expanded sections on infrastructure and the economy. Many questions in the current poll remain the same as in the previous versions- this is necessary to make comparisons over time. The current survey also contains new questions on topics which have recently received attention in the community. These topics include economic development, downtown Springfield, gambling, and recreational marijuana.

## Methodology

The University of Illinois Springfield Survey Research Office (SRO) is dedicated to the principles of transparency in research and is a charter member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Transparency Initiative. Membership entails adherence to AAPOR's code of ethics as well as a commitment to promoting understanding of survey methodology and how it relates to survey quality. For more information about project methodology please contact the UIS Survey Research Office at sro@uis.edu

## Funding

The Community Foundation for the Land of Lincoln, the United Way of Central Illinois and, the Center for State Policy and Leadership at the University of Illinois Springfield commissioned the UIS Survey Research Office and the Institute for Legal, Legislative, and Policy Studies to conduct a mixed-mode survey (mail and web) of adults living in Sangamon County. The survey was conducted from June 1, 2019 to July 3rd, 2019 via mail questionnaire with the option to take the survey via the Qualtrics Research Suite.

## Sampling Frame

The sample is an address based sample (ABS) of 8,000 households in Sangamon County, Illinois. Those in zip codes 62702 and 62703 were oversampled to better obtain representativeness with regards to income and minority status. We do this to compensate for low response rates for areas of lower income and higher minority populations. To calculate the percentage for the oversampled zip codes, we took the percentage of individuals living in zip codes 62702 and 62703 and divided it by the unweighted percentage of responses for these zip codes in a previous survey conducted by SRO. In the sample, 7,324 households ( $92 \%$ ) had a name appended to the address. The sampling frame excludes those who do not have an address (such as the homeless) as well as those living in group quarters (such as a boarding house or a fraternity/sorority), and those who may have moved into a formerly vacant address (a delivery point that has been unoccupied for 90 days or more). The survey also excludes those who are unable to take the survey in English. The sample was developed by personnel at Marketing Systems Group for the Survey Research Office.

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

## Recruitment

The Survey Research Office sent an envelope to addresses containing an introductory letter, the mail questionnaire, and a postage paid business reply envelope. Households with a matching name were addressed to the name of the individual. Individuals without a matching name were addressed simply to "resident." The introductory letter tells respondents that the survey is sent to the household rather than the individual listed on the envelop. Because all individuals over the age of 18 residing in Sangamon County were considered eligible to participate there is no purposive within-household selection.

A total of 652 interviews were completed ( 612 via mail; 40 via the web using Qualtrics Research Suite). Additionally, zero individuals completed some questions in the survey but not enough to be considered completes and four individuals sent back blank questionnaires. These were coded as implicit refusals. 19 persons sent back the questionnaire but after the field period. 28 questionnaires came back as undeliverable. Finally, two questionnaires were returned with responses indicating no one at the household was able to take the survey. The majority of questionnaires were never returned $(7,294)$.

The Response Rate for the survey (AAPOR Response Rate 3) is $8 \%$, the Cooperation Rate is $99 \%$ (AAPOR Cooperation Rate 3), and the refusal rate is $.006 \%$ (AAPOR Refusal Rate 3). The table below shows the final disposition of cases which were coded in accordance with guidelines set forth by AAPOR as well as outcome rates calculated using AAPOR's response rate calculator.

## Precision of Findings

The margin of sampling error for the survey is $3.8 \%$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level, consistent with the 2017 survey. When examining subgroups, the margin of error will increase. The margin of sampling error has not been adjusted for design effect.

The following individuals served as the 2019 Citizen Survey Steering Committee and were instrumental to the development of the Survey:
Dr. David Racine, Center for State Policy and Leadership (Chair)
Matthen Case, Survey Research Office
Katie Davidson, Innovate Springfield
Randy Eccles, NPR Illinois
Bob Gray, Citizens Club of Springfield
John Kelker, United W ay of Central Illinois
David Steward, Southern Illinois University
Val Yazell, City of Springfield
Michael Phelon, Lincoln-Land Community College
Nabib Elbajj, Sangamon CEO
Jennifer Gill, Springield Public Schools
Bruce Sommer, University of Illinois Springfield
The report was written by the staff of the Institute for Legal, Legislative, and Policy Studies and the Survey Research Office. For questions on the project, please contact the Survey Research Office at sro@uis.edu or via telephone at 217-206-6591. This report reflects the observations and views of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Community Foundation for the Land of Lincoln, United Way of Central Illinois, nor those of the members of the 2019 Citizen Survey Steering Committee.

Table 1. Final Disposition of Cases and Outcome Rates
1.0 Interviews
Completed interviens ..... 652
2.0 Eligible non-interviews
Implicit refusal ..... 4
Break off/Implicit refusal ..... 0
Completed questionnaire, but not returned during the field period ..... 19
3.0 Unknown eligibility, non-interviews
Nothing returned ..... 7295
USPS: Cannot be delivered ..... 28
4.0 Not eligible
No eligible respondent ..... 2
Total sample used ..... 8000
5.0 Outcome Rates
AAPOR Response Rate 3 ..... 8\%
AAPOR Cooperation Rate 3 ..... 99\%
AAPOR Refusal Rate 3 ..... 006\%

Table 2. Demographics of the Survey Sample

| Demographics | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample | Sangamon County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender $1^{\text {Male }}$ |  |  |  |
| Female | $45 \%$ |  |  |
|  | $52 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Race $^{2}$ |  | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| White alone | $90 \%$ |  |  |
| Black or African American | $5 \%$ | $81 \%$ |  |
| Hispanic | $1 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Asian | $.3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Other | $4 \%$ | $.3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
|  |  | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Education ${ }^{3}$ | $1 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ |
| Less than HS | $14 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| HS Degree | $31 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Some college | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 4 year degree | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| More than 4 year degree |  | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Age |  |  | $13 \%$ |
| $18-34$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |
| $35-44$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
|  |  | $48 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Born in Sangamon County | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | NA |
| Born Elsewhere |  |  | NA |

[^0]
## SOCIAL WELL-BEING

Do you think Sangamon County is an excellent or good place to


## Raise Children

61\%


Percent of Respondents Who Say Sangamon County is an Excellent or Good Place to...


Would you say things are generally heading in the right direction, or do you think things are going in the wrong track here in Sangamon County?

## Direction of Sangamon County

- $52 \%$ of respondents report that things are generally heading in the right direction. $48 \%$ of respondents report that things are on the wrong track.
- $57 \%$ of residents born outside Sangamon County think things are heading in the right direction compared to $47 \%$ of residents born in Sangamon County.
- Female respondents ( $54 \%$ ) and male respondents ( $52 \%$ ) report things are generally heading in the right direction at similar rates.
- White respondents ( $52 \%$ ) report things are generally heading in the right direction compared to non-white respondents ( $51 \%$ ) at similar rates.
- Education has a notable impact on outlook, with those that have less than a high school education ( $77 \%$ ) and those that have a graduate degree ( $66 \%$ ) were more likely than other educational groups that Sangamon County is heading in the right direction.
- Those reporting an annual household income of greater than $\$ 100,000$ report things are heading in the right direction at a higher rate ( $63 \%$ ) than those reporting under $\$ 30,000(50 \%)$, between $\$ 30,001$ and $\$ 60,000$ ( $50 \%$ ), and $\$ 60,001$ to $\$ 100,000(48 \%)$.

Percent of Respondents Who Report Sangamon County is Heading in the Right Direction Across Years


Table 3. Attitudes Towards Social Well Being Questions By Demographics
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { Demographics } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sangamon } \\ \text { County is } \\ \text { heading in the } \\ \text { right direction }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sangamon } \\ \text { County is an } \\ \text { Excellent or } \\ \text { Good Place to } \\ \text { Live }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sangamon } \\ \text { County is an } \\ \text { Excellent or } \\ \text { Good Place to } \\ \text { Raise children }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sangamon } \\ \text { County is an } \\ \text { Excellent or } \\ \text { Good Place to } \\ \text { Work }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sangamon } \\ \text { County is an } \\ \text { Excellent or }\end{array} \\ \text { Place to } \\ \text { Retire }\end{array}\right]$

## SOCIAL WELL-BEING

On a 0 (lowest) to 10 (highest) scale, percent that rate their quality of life as...


## Quality of Life

- Men ( $41 \%$ ) are slightly more likely than women ( $39 \%$ ) to rate their life in the 8 to 10 range.
- $42 \%$ of white respondents rate their life in the 8 to 10 range compared to $28 \%$ of non-white respondents.
- Slightly over half ( $52 \%$ ) of respondents with graduate degrees rate their quality of life between an 8 and a 10 compared to $10 \%$ of those with less than a high school degree.
- Those reporting an annual household income greater than $\$ 100,000$ are more likely ( $40 \%$ ) to rate their life between 8 and 10 compared to those reporting under $\$ 30,000(18 \%)$, between $\$ 30,001$ and $\$ 60,000(31 \%)$, and $\$ 60,001$ to $\$ 100,000(39 \%)$.

On a scale from $0-10$, how likely are you to recommend the Springfield area to someone who was considering moving to the area?


- Unlikely to Recommend = Neither Unlikely or Likely - Likely to Recommend


## Recommending the Area

- $50 \%$ were likely ( 6 through 10 ) to recommend the area, $15 \%$ were neither likely or unlikely (5), and $35 \%$ were unlikely ( 0 through 4) to recommend the area.
- Residents in the age range of 18 to $34(62 \%)$ are more likely to recommend the area than any other age group. The closest age group is those 65 and older $(56 \%)$.
- Male respondents ( $53 \%$ ) are somewhat more likely than female respondents ( $47 \%$ ) to recommend moving to the area.
- Those reporting an annual household income of greater than $\$ 100,000$ are more likely ( $59 \%$ ) than those reporting under $\$ 30,000(42 \%)$, between $\$ 30,001$ and $\$ 60,000(43 \%)$, and $\$ 60,001$ to $\$ 100,000(56 \%)$.
- Education also plays a role. Nearly two-thirds of respondents ( $64 \%$ ) with a bachelor's degree are likely to recommend the area to someone compared to $39 \%$ of those with a high school diploma. Additional differences across education are reported in the table on the next page.
- $54 \%$ of residents not born in Sangamon County would recommend moving to the area compared $46 \%$ of people born here.
- Both questions discussed on this page are new and no longitudinal comparison exists.

Table 4. Attitudes Towards Social Well Being Questions By Demographics

| Demographics | Rating their Quality of Life 0 to 4 | Rating their Quality of Life 5 to 7 | Rating their Quality of Life 8 to 10 | Likely to Recommend Moving to the Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 15\% | 45\% | 40\% | 50\% |
| Male | 13\% | 46\% | 41\% | 53\% |
| Female | 17\% | 44\% | 39\% | 47\% |
| White | 13\% | 45\% | 42\% | 49\% |
| Nonwhite | 23\% | 48\% | 28\% | 55\% |
| Less than HS | 10\% | 80\% | 10\% | 47\% |
| High School | 26\% | 41\% | 33\% | 39\% |
| Some college | 21\% | 44\% | 35\% | 44\% |
| Bachelor's | 6\% | 50\% | 44\% | 64\% |
| Graduate degree | 2\% | 46\% | 52\% | 56\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 36\% | 46\% | 18\% | 42\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 22\% | 47\% | 31\% | 43\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 8\% | 53\% | 39\% | 56\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 3\% | 45\% | 40\% | 59\% |
| 18-34 years old | 6\% | 64\% | 30\% | 62\% |
| $35-44$ years old | 20\% | 56\% | 25\% | 43\% |
| 45-54 years old | 16\% | 45\% | 39\% | 45\% |
| 55-64 years old | 23\% | 40\% | 38\% | 48\% |
| 65 or older | 10\% | 33\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 23\% | 43\% | 35\% | 46\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 7\% | 48\% | 45\% | 54\% |

## LIFE IN SANGMON COUNTY

$72 \%$ of parents rate the quality of their children's education as excellent or good
If you had to rate the quality of education your oldest (or only) child is receiving would you say that it is...?
Rating the quality of education as excellent or good is more common among parents who are white ( $73 \%$ ), ages 18 to $34(84 \%)$, born outside the county ( $87 \%$ ), report a house hold income of greater than $\$ 100,000(98 \%)$, and those with less than a high school diploma ( $100 \%$ ). $71 \%$ of parents with children enrolled in a public school report this compared to $88 \%$ of those with kids in private schools.

## 65\% feel safe walking alone at night

Do you feel safe or unsafe walking alone at night in your neighborbood?
This sentiment is more common among respondents who are male ( $76 \%$ ), between the ages of 45 and 54 ( $78 \%$ ), and white ( $69 \%$ ). Income appears to be a large driver for respondents as those earning less than $\$ 30,000(44 \%)$ a year are nearly half as likely as those making $\$ 100,000(83 \%)$ to report feeling safe walking alone at night in their neighborhood.


## 60\% report having a great deal of trust in the local

 policeHow much trust do you have in the police in your area to keep you and your family safe? Respondents who are white ( $62 \%$ ), have less than a high school education ( $88 \%$ ), have a household income over $\$ 100,000(70 \%)$, are 65 and older ( $68 \%$ ), and not from Sangamon County ( $62 \%$ ) are more likely than others in their comparison groups to report having a great deal of trust in the local police.

## 70\% say Immigrants Help Sangamon County

$W$ bich of the following comes closest to your view even if neither is exactly correct? Immigrants Help Sangamon County and Make it a Better Place to Live This sentiment is more common amongst women ( $71 \%$ ), non-white respondents ( $78 \%$ ), those reporting an annual income between $\$ 60,000$ and $\$ 100,000(78 \%)$, respondents between the ages 18 and 34 ( $86 \%$ ), and those born outside of Sangamon County (77\%).

Table 5. Attitudes Towards Life in Sangamon County Questions by Demographics

| Demographics | Feel Safe Walking Alone at Night | Trust in Local Police | Rate K-12 <br> Education as Excellent or Good | Sangamon County is Welcoming | Immigrants Help Sangamon County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 65\% | 60\% | 72\% | 84\% | 70\% |
| Male | 76\% | 61\% | 74\% | 86\% | 68\% |
| Female | 59\% | 61\% | 74\% | 81\% | 71\% |
| White | 69\% | 62\% | 73\% | 85\% | 67\% |
| Nonwhite | 54\% | 55\% | 67\% | 78\% | 78\% |
| Less than HS | 13\% | 88\% | 100\% | 80\% | 86\% |
| High School | 47\% | 66\% | 48\% | 81\% | 58\% |
| Some college | 65\% | 54\% | 57\% | 81\% | 62\% |
| Bachelor's | 80\% | 61\% | 86\% | 91\% | 85\% |
| Graduate degree | 80\% | 61\% | 91\% | 86\% | 78\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 44\% | 55\% | 50\% | 83\% | 66\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 54\% | 57\% | 64\% | 77\% | 65\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 79\% | 63\% | 48\% | 86\% | 78\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { greater } \end{aligned}$ | 83\% | 70\% | 98\% | 89\% | 68\% |
| 18-34 years old | 61\% | 54\% | 84\% | 89\% | 86\% |
| 35-44 years old | 64\% | 61\% | 68\% | 89\% | 72\% |
| 45-54 years old | 78\% | 63\% | 72\% | 85\% | 69\% |
| 55-64 years old | 70\% | 59\% | 73\% | 79\% | 57\% |
| 65 or older | 67\% | 68\% | NA | 80\% | 73\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 63\% | 59\% | 63\% | 84\% | 63\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 68\% | 62\% | 87\% | 84\% | 77\% |

## SANGAMON COUNTY'S POPULATION



Percent of Respondents Who Have Considered Moving Out of Sangamon County in the Past Twelve Months
$79 \%$ think young people are better off moving out of Sangamon County Do you think young people are better off staying in Sangamon County or are better off moving elsewhere? Younger respondents, those ages 18 to 34 $(82 \%)$, had a higher percent of respondents reporting this position than most age groups. The highest are those ages 45 to $54(88 \%)$. White respondents ( $80 \%$ ) are more likely than non-white respondents ( $73 \%$ ) to share this sentiment. Slightly over one-third (36\%) of respondents with less than a high school degree report thinking younger people are better off moving away, the lowest of the education groups.

## 57\% have considered moving out of Sangamon County in the past year

In the past twelve months, have you considered moving out of or away from Sangamon County? This sentiment is more common among respondents who are male ( $58 \%$ ), between the ages of 45 and $54(67 \%)$, those born in Sangamon County ( $63 \%$ ), and non-white ( $61 \%$ ). The biggest difference is between those with some college experience ( $63 \%$ ) compared to those with less than a high school diploma ( $20 \%$ ). The $57 \%$ of all respondents is over 20 percentage points
higher than the $2017(36 \%)$ and 2015 (35\%) rates.

62\% want the population of Sangamon County to increase
Over the next five years, would you like to see the population increase, stay where it is now, or decrease further?
$63 \%$ of white respondents report wanting the population of the county to increase compared to $57 \%$ of non-white respondents. Two-thirds ( $66 \%$ ) of those respondents born outside of

Sangamon County report wanting the population to increase in comparison to $58 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County. The lowest level of support for a population increase when looking at age are those ages 18 to 34 and 35 to 44 at $56 \%$.

Table 6. Attitudes Towards The Population of Sangamon County by Demographics

| Demographics | Have Thought About Moving Out of Sangamon County | Younger People Are Better Off Moving Away | Sangamon County <br> Population Should <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 57\% | 79\% | 62\% |
| Male | 58\% | 80\% | 62\% |
| Female | 54\% | 77\% | 60\% |
| White | 56\% | 80\% | 63\% |
| Nonwhite | 61\% | 73\% | 57\% |
| Less than HS | 20\% | 36\% | 73\% |
| High School | 51\% | 77\% | 62\% |
| Some college | 63\% | 84\% | 57\% |
| Bachelor's | 54\% | 82\% | 68\% |
| Graduate degree | 61\% | 74\% | 60\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 52\% | 70\% | 58\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 56\% | 79\% | 54\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 59\% | 84\% | 64\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 54\% | 77\% | 67\% |
| 18-34 years old | 58\% | 82\% | 56\% |
| 35-44 years old | 67\% | 77\% | 56\% |
| 45-54 years old | 67\% | 88\% | 59\% |
| 55-64 years old | 59\% | 75\% | 62\% |
| 65 or older | 39\% | 73\% | 67\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 63\% | 80\% | 58\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 51\% | 77\% | 66\% |

## LEGAL CHANGES IN SANGAMON COUNTY


of Sang. Co. residents say a casino would be good for the area.
$46 \%$ of women compared to $38 \%$ of men say that a casino would be a good thing.
$48 \%$ of non-white respondents compared to $40 \%$ of white respondents say a casino would be a good thing.

53\% of those ages 35 to 44 think a casino would be a good thing. Respondents ages 18 to $34(52 \%)$ are slightly lower.
These are the two highest groups of the age groups.
$49 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County compared to $36 \%$ of those born outside the county think a casino would be a good thing.
$48 \%$ of those reporting less than $\$ 30,000$ in their annual household income think a casino would be good, the highest of the income groups.


$$
42 \%
$$

of Sang. Co. residents say legalized sports betting would be good for the area.
$46 \%$ of women compared to $39 \%$ of men say that legalized sports betting would be a good thing.
$\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of non-white respondents compared to $40 \%$ of white respondents say legalized sports betting would be a good thing.
$\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ of those ages 35 to 44 think legalized sports betting would be a good thing. The highest level of support in the age groups.
$48 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County think legalized sports betting would be a good thing compared to $37 \%$ of those born outside the county.
$58 \%$ of those reporting less than $\$ 30,000$ in their annual household income think legalized sports betting would be good, the highest of the income groups.

of Sang. Co. residents say recreational marijuana would be good for the area.
$50 \%$ of women compared to $41 \%$ of men say that recreational marijuana would be a good thing.
$56 \%$ of non-white respondents compared to $42 \%$ of white respondents say recreational marijuana would be a good thing.
$76 \%$ of those ages 18 to 34 think recreational marijuana would be a good thing. The highest level of support in the age groups.
$42 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County think recreational marijuana a would be a good thing compared to $47 \%$ of those born outside the county.
$58 \%$ of those reporting less than $\$ 30,000$ in their annual household income think recreational marijuana would be good, the highest of the income groups.

Table 7. Attitudes Towards Legal Changes in Sangamon County by Demographics

| Demographics | Report a Casino Would <br> be Good For Sangamon <br> County | Report Sports Betting <br> Would Be Good for <br> Sangamon County | Report Recreational Marijuana <br> Would Be Good for Sangamon <br> County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Male | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Female | $46 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| White | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Nonwhite | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Less than HS | $50 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |
| High School | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Some college | $54 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Bachelor's | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Graduate degree | $28 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Less than $\$ 29,999$ | $48 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 59,999$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| \$60,000 - \$99,999 | $39 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ or greater | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| 18-34 years old | $52 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| $35-44$ years old | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| $45-54$ years old | $41 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| $55-64$ years old | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| 65 or older | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Born in Sangamon | $49 \%$ |  | $27 \%$ |
| County | $36 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Born Elsewhere |  | $37 \%$ | $47 \%$ |

## RACE AND EQUALITY IN SANGAMON COUNTY

6\%
of Sang. Co. residents describe race relations in the area as verv good

## 65\%

of Sang Co. residents describe race relations in the area as fairly good
$25 \%$
of Sang Co. residents describe race relations in the area as fairly bad

4\%
of Sang Co. residents describe race relations in the area as very bad

## How Would You Rate Race <br> Relations in the Springfield Area?



## Equality

$35 \%$ of all respondents think everyone in their community is treated equally.
$\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ of white respondents report everyone is treated equally compared to $32 \%$ of non-white respondents.
$\mathbf{2 8 \%} \%$ of those ages 18 to 34 say everyone is treated equally. This is the lowest of all the age groups. The age group with the highest percent of respondents who say everyone is treated equally are those aged 35 to 44 at $37 \%$.

## Percent of Respondents Who <br> Rate Race Relations in <br> Sangamon County as Very or Fairly Good Across Years



## Racial Discrimination

$18 \%$ of all respondents report experiencing poor treatment due to their race or ethnicity.
$45 \%$ of non-white respondents report experiencing poor treatment due to their race or ethnicity compared to $11 \%$ of white respondents.

Table 8. Attitudes Towards Race and Equality in Sangamon County by Demographics

| Demographics | Everyone is Treated Equally | Report Poor Treatment Due to Race or Ethnicity | Rate Race Relations as Very Good or Fairly Good |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 35\% | 18\% | 71\% |
| Male | 38\% | 16\% | 72\% |
| Female | 32\% | 18\% | 73\% |
| White | 36\% | 11\% | 73\% |
| Nonwhite | 32\% | 45\% | 65\% |
| Less than HS | 80\% | 27\% | 70\% |
| High School | 39\% | 13\% | 70\% |
| Some college | 30\% | 25\% | 75\% |
| Bachelor's | 31\% | 14\% | 69\% |
| Graduate degree | 35\% | 14\% | 68\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 36\% | 32\% | 74\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 39\% | 16\% | 73\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 25\% | 20\% | 67\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 39\% | 9\% | 70\% |
| 18-34 years old | 28\% | 26\% | 77\% |
| $35-44$ years old | 37\% | 20\% | 74\% |
| 45-54 years old | 36\% | 15\% | 66\% |
| 55-64 years old | 31\% | 20\% | 69\% |
| 65 or older | $34 \%$ | 15\% | 75\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 36\% | 22\% | 72\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 34\% | 15\% | 71\% |

## SUCCESS IN SANGAMON COUNTY

## Being a Person of Color as a Batrier to Careet Success in the County

- $25 \%$ of all respondents report that being a person of color is a large barrier to career success in Sangamon County.
- $46 \%$ of non-white respondents report being a person of color is a large barrier to career success in Sangamon County. This is over twice the rate of white respondents ( $19 \%$ ).
- $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ of those ages 18 to 34 see being a person of color as a large barrier to career success in Sangamon County. This is the lowest of the five age groups.
- $26 \%$ of women think being a person of color is a large barrier compared to $21 \%$ of men.
- $40 \%$ of those reporting an annual household income of under $\$ 30,000$ think being a person of color is a large barrier. The highest of the income groups.


## Being from Outside the Area as a Barrier to Career Success in the County

- $29 \%$ of all respondents rate being from outside the area as a large barrier to career success in Sangamon County.
- $33 \%$ of those respondents born outside of Sangamon County report being from outside the area is a large barrier to career success in the county compared to $26 \%$ of residents born in Sangamon County.
- $34 \%$ of those reporting a household income less than $\$ 30,000$ a year say being from outsider the area is a large barrier compared to $29 \%$ of those reporting an income of at least $\$ 100,000$.


## Not Having Connections as a Barrier to Career Success in the County

- $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ of those surveyed think that not having connections is a large barrier to career success in Sangamon County.
- 67\% of those born in Sangamon County see not having connections as a large barrier to career success in the county compared to $58 \%$ of those born outside of Sangamon County.
- 70\% of non-white respondents see not having connections as a large barrier to career success compared to $60 \%$ of white respondents.
- $\mathbf{5 3} \%$ of those reporting an annual household income of at least $\$ 100,000$ see not having connections as a barrier to career success, the lowest of the income groupings.

Percent of Respondents Saying the Extent, If At All, Each of the Following Are a Barrier to Career Success in Sangamon County



Table 9. Attitudes Towards Success in Sangamon County by Demographics

| Demographics | Being a Person of Color is a Large Barrier | Not Having Connections is a Large Barrier | Being from Outside the Area is a Large Barrier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 25\% | 62\% | 29\% |
| Male | 22\% | 62\% | 29\% |
| Female | 26\% | 61\% | 30\% |
| White | 19\% | 60\% | 29\% |
| Nonwhite | 46\% | 70\% | 32\% |
| Less than HS | 20\% | 67\% | 14\% |
| High School | 33\% | 62\% | 39\% |
| Some college | 24\% | 61\% | 21\% |
| Bachelor's | 22\% | 61\% | 27\% |
| Graduate degree | 22\% | 66\% | 36\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 40\% | 55\% | 34\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 22\% | 67\% | 31\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 24\% | 71\% | 29\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 20\% | 53\% | 29\% |
| 18-34 years old | 16\% | 57\% | 24\% |
| 35-44 years old | 22\% | 76\% | 28\% |
| 45-54 years old | 24\% | 56\% | 32\% |
| 55-64 years old | 27\% | 65\% | 33\% |
| 65 or older | 25\% | 51\% | 23\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 21\% | 67\% | 26\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 29\% | 58\% | 33\% |

## ECONOMY

## Personal Financial Conditions Compared to 12 Months Ago



## Economic Development

- $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ of respondents are aware of economic development efforts in the area.
- $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of respondents think Sangamon County has an excellent or good reputation for starting a business.
- $21 \%$ of respondents report that Sangamon County has an excellent or good reputation for attracting new businesses.
- $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ of those surveyed think Sangamon County has an excellent or good reputation for retaining existing businesses.
- $21 \%$ of respondents think Sangamon County has an excellent or good reputation for attracting talented professionals.
- $18 \%$ of respondents think that Sangamon County has an excellent or good reputation of retaining talented professionals.

An important note about the responses to the economic development questions is that the former director of the Land of Lincoln Economic Development Corporation was publicly removed from his position a few days after the survey was sent to the public. Due to media coverage and nature of his removal, awareness of economic development efforts may have increased but negative assessments may have as well.

Local Area Business Conditions Compared to 12 Months Ago

$89 \%$ of Sangamon County Residents see Developing Downtown Springfield as Important to the County. This is the highest percent since this survey began in 2013.

## Downtown Activities

- 67\% of respondents rate downtown Springfield as either excellent or good for restaurants.
- $66 \%$ of those surveyed rate downtown Springfield as either excellent or good for cultural activities.
- $52 \%$ of respondents rate the cleanliness of downtown Springfield as either excellent or good.
- $42 \%$ of those surveyed rate the safety of downtown Springfield as either excellent or good.
- $36 \%$ of respondents rate downtown Springfield as either excellent or good for nightlife.
- $27 \%$ of those surveyed rate downtown Springfield as either excellent or good for shopping.


## ECONOMY

## Performance of the Economy

- $27 \%$ of respondents rate the state of Sangamon County's economy as excellent or good.
- $\mathbf{5 6 \%} \%$ of those surveyed rate the state of Sangamon Count. economy as fair.
- $17 \%$ of respondents rate the state of Sangamon County's economy as poor.
- $35 \%$ of respondents born outside of Sangamon County rc the economy as excellent or good compared to $18 \%$ of those born in the county.
- $32 \%$ of non-white respondents rate the economy as excellent or good compared to $25 \%$ of white respondents
- $41 \%$ of respondents with an annual household income of $\$ 100,000$ or greater report the local economy is excellent or good. Those reporting an annual household income of $\$ 100,000$ or greater are more likely than those reporting under $\$ 30,000(31 \% \%)$, between $\$ 30,001$ and $\$ 60,000(18 \%)$, and $\$ 60,001$ to $\$ 100,000(22 \%)$.
- $41 \%$ of those surveyed with a graduate degree rate the economy as excellent or good. This compares to $39 \%$ of those with less than a high school diploma, $20 \%$ of those with a high school diploma, $27 \%$ of those with some college


## Historical Trends

Percent of Respondents Who Said Growing Downtown Springfield is Important Since 2013


## Assessments of Local Area Business Conditions Compared to 12 Months Ago since 2013



Table 10. Attitudes Towards the Sangamon County Economy by Demographics

| Demographics | The Sangamon County Economy is Excellent or Good | Personal Financial Situation is Better Off | Personal Financial Situation is Worse Off | Local Business Conditions Are Better Off | Local Business Conditions Are Worse Off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 30\% | 26\% | 20\% | 10\% | 33\% |
| Male | 28\% | 30\% | 19\% | 11\% | 35\% |
| Female | 27\% | 22\% | 21\% | 8\% | 32\% |
| White | 32\% | 24\% | 20\% | 8\% | 33\% |
| Nonwhite | 25\% | 34\% | 22\% | 19\% | 30\% |
| Less than HS | 39\% | 53\% | 0\% | 53\% | 7\% |
| High School | 20\% | 19\% | 26\% | 6\% | 36\% |
| Some college | 27\% | 20\% | 28\% | 10\% | 28\% |
| Bachelor's | 27\% | 32\% | 14\% | 11\% | 37\% |
| Graduate degree | 41\% | 33\% | 10\% | 10\% | 30\% |
| Less than $\$ 29,999$ | 31\% | 21\% | 33\% | 13\% | 34\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 18\% | 21\% | 26\% | 8\% | 33\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 22\% | 25\% | 16\% | 6\% | 31\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { greater } \end{aligned}$ | 41\% | 37\% | 11\% | 16\% | 29\% |
| 18-34 years old | 25\% | 42\% | 29\% | 17\% | 40\% |
| 35-44 years old | 18\% | 40\% | 13\% | 12\% | 18\% |
| 45-54 years old | 25\% | 20\% | 21\% | 5\% | 38\% |
| 55-64 years old | 24\% | 18\% | 25\% | 8\% | 39\% |
| 65 or older | 33\% | 15\% | 15\% | 7\% | 34\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 18\% | 28\% | 18\% | 8\% | 34\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 35\% | 24\% | 22\% | 12\% | 31\% |

Table 11. Attitudes Towards the Sangamon County Economy by Demographics

| Demographics | Downtown is <br> Important to the <br> Economic Development of the Area | Sangamon County Has An Excellent or Good Reputation for Starting A Business | Sangamon County Has An Excellent or Good <br> Reputation for <br> Attracting Existing Businesses | Sangamon County Has An Excellent or Good Reputation for <br> Retaining <br> Businesses | Sangamon <br> County Has <br> An Excellent <br> or Good <br> Reputation for <br> Attracting <br> Talented <br> Professionals | Sangamon <br> County Has An Excellent or Good Reputation for Retaining Talented Professionals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 89\% | 30\% | 21\% | 19\% | 21\% | 18\% |
| Male | 87\% | 30\% | 18\% | 19\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Female | 91\% | 28\% | 23\% | 18\% | 24\% | 18\% |
| White | 91\% | 27\% | 19\% | 19\% | 21\% | 17\% |
| Nonwhite | 83\% | 43\% | 29\% | 21\% | 25\% | 22\% |
| Less than HS | 100\% | $33 \%$ | 40\% | 23\% | 36\% | 29\% |
| High School | 90\% | 29\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 15\% |
| Some college | 88\% | 23\% | 17\% | 14\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| Bachelor's | 92\% | 35\% | 21\% | 17\% | 19\% | 19\% |
| Graduate degree | 85\% | 36\% | 32\% | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ | 24\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 92\% | 36\% | 31\% | 24\% | 22\% | 17\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 83\% | 27\% | 16\% | 12\% | 21\% | 16\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 92\% | 28\% | 17\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 90\% | 31\% | 21\% | 28\% | 23\% | 21\% |
| 18-34 years old | 94\% | 41\% | 21\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| 35-44 years old | 86\% | 19\% | 15\% | 19\% | 17\% | 14\% |
| 45-54 years old | 86\% | 23\% | 15\% | 18\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| 55-64 years old | 91\% | 28\% | 19\% | 14\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| 65 or older | 90\% | 34\% | 29\% | 23\% | 31\% | 23\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 89\% | 19\% | 12\% | 12\% | 16\% | 12\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 89\% | 40\% | 30\% | 26\% | 27\% | 24\% |



## Could Not Afford Food in the Past 12 Months

- $18 \%$ of all respondents report that there was a time in the past 12 months where they could not afford food, the lowest reported rate since this survey began in 2013.
- $23 \%$ of female respondents report this compared to $12 \%$ of male.
- $25 \%$ of non-white respondents report this compared to $17 \%$ of white respondents.
- $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ of those with less than a high school diploma report not being able to afford food. This is over twice as much as the next closest group, which is those with some college (25\%)
- $45 \%$ of those reporting a household income less than $\$ 30,000$ report not being able to afford food, nearly twice as much as the next closest group of those making between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 59,999(23 \%)$.
- $29 \%$ of those surveyed between the ages of 35 to 44 report not being able to afford food. The highest of the five age groups.
- $22 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County report this compared to $14 \%$ of those born elsewhere.


## Could Not Afford Healthcare or Medicine in the Past 12 Months

- $28 \%$ of all respondents report that there was a time in the past 12 months when they could not afford healthcare. This is the highest rate since the survey began in 2013.
- $34 \%$ of female respondents report this compared to $22 \%$ of male.
- $37 \%$ of non-white report not being able to afford healthcare compared to $26 \%$ of white respondents.
- $53 \%$ of those reporting a household income less than $\$ 30,000$ report not being able to afford healthcare, compared to just $8 \%$ of those reporting an income of greater than \$100,000.
- $36 \%$ of those born in Sangamon County report this compared to $21 \%$ of those born elsewhere.
- $18 \%$ of those ages 65 and older report this, the lowest of all the age groups.


## Could Not Afford Shelter in the Past 12 Months

- $12 \%$ of all respondents report that there was a time in the past 12 months when they could not afford shelter.
- $14 \%$ of female respondents report this compared to $9 \%$ of male.
- $19 \%$ of those with some college report not being able to afford shelter. The highest of the education groups.
- $22 \%$ of those reporting a household income less than $\$ 30,000$ report not being able to afford shelter, compared to just $1 \%$ of those reporting an income of greater than $\$ 100,000$.


## Could Not Afford Utilities in the Past 12 Months

- Women ( $28 \%$ ), non-white respondents ( $30 \%$ ), those with less than a high school education ( $75 \%$ ), those making less than $\$ 30,000$ a year (59\%), ages 35 to 44 ( $32 \%$ ), and people born in Sangamon County ( $26 \%$ ) were more likely than others in their group to report having issues affording utilities.

Table 12. County Economy by Demographics

| Demographics | Could not Afford <br> Food In the Past 12 Months | Could not Afford Shelter In the Past 12 Months | Could not Afford Healthcare/Medicine In the Past 12 Months | Could not <br> Afford <br> Utilities In the Past 12 <br> Months | Could not Afford Transportation In the Past 12 Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 18\% | 12\% | 28\% | 23\% | 15\% |
| Male | 12\% | 9\% | 22\% | 16\% | 12\% |
| Female | 23\% | 14\% | $34 \%$ | 28\% | 17\% |
| White | 17\% | 12\% | 26\% | 21\% | 13\% |
| Nonwhite | 25\% | 13\% | 37\% | 30\% | 22\% |
| Less than HS | 60\% | 0\% | 60\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| High School | 22\% | 11\% | 34\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Some college | 25\% | 19\% | 36\% | 32\% | 21\% |
| Bachelor's | 10\% | 10\% | 20\% | 17\% | 14\% |
| Graduate degree | 6\% | 4\% | 12\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 45\% | 22\% | 53\% | 59\% | 36\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 23\% | 18\% | 42\% | 25\% | 16\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 15\% | 10\% | 22\% | 20\% | 14\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 3\% | 1\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| 18-34 years old | 15\% | 12\% | 28\% | 23\% | 6\% |
| $35-44$ years old | 29\% | 15\% | 48\% | 32\% | 22\% |
| 45-54 years old | 19\% | 14\% | 24\% | 25\% | 20\% |
| 55-64 years old | 19\% | 11\% | 26\% | 21\% | 13\% |
| 65 or older | 14\% | 10\% | 18\% | 17\% | 13\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 22\% | 12\% | 36\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 14\% | 12\% | 21\% | 19\% | 14\% |

## CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

$47 \%$ of 2019 respondents think most people can be trusted

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

This is the highest level of reported trust of Sangamon County residents since the survey began in 2013. Those ages 18 to 34 and 65 years and older have the highest level of trust at $54 \%$.

White respondents $(51 \%)$ are more likely to report that most people can be trusted than nonwhite ( $34 \%$ ).
$56 \%$ of 2019 respondents have a great deal or fair amount of trust in the local media to be fair
In general, how much trust and confidence do you bave in the local media - such as newspapers, TV, and radio - when it comes to reporting the news fully, accurately, and fairly?

WICS News Channel 20 (25\%), The StateJournal Register ( $22 \%$ ), and NPR Illinois ( $20 \%$ ), were the most trusted news organizations identified by respondents. An important note around this question is that shortly after this survey was mailed out a meteorologist for WICS News Channel 20 was removed from his position, which led to public outcry and may have influenced respondent answers.

## 68\%

of Sangamon County residents report being very or somewhat interested in National Affairs and Politics

## 65\%

of those surveyed report being very or somewhat interested in State Affairs and Politics
$6 \mathbf{6 2 \%}$
of Sangamon County
residents report being
very or somewhat
interested in Local Affairs
and Politics

Table 13. Attitudes Towards the Civic Engagement by Demographics

| Demographics | Most People Can Be <br> Trusted | Trust the Local Media | Interested in National Affairs | Interested in State Affairs | Interested in Local Affairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 47\% | 56\% | 68\% | 65\% | 62\% |
| Male | 52\% | 48\% | 81\% | 75\% | 69\% |
| Female | 44\% | 64\% | 58\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| White | 51\% | 55\% | 69\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| Nonwhite | 34\% | 61\% | 69\% | 55\% | 50\% |
| Less than HS | 40\% | 92\% | 55\% | 62\% | 46\% |
| High School | 34\% | 53\% | 57\% | 61\% | 62\% |
| Some college | 38\% | 59\% | 71\% | 62\% | 65\% |
| Bachelor's | 60\% | 51\% | 71\% | 69\% | 59\% |
| Graduate degree | 66\% | 59\% | 81\% | 72\% | 64\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 33\% | 74\% | 50\% | 48\% | 49\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 35\% | 53\% | 70\% | 60\% | 56\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 53\% | 57\% | 74\% | 70\% | 65\% |
| \$100,000 or greater | 70\% | 50\% | 84\% | 80\% | 77\% |
| 18-34 years old | 54\% | 63\% | 73\% | 64\% | 58\% |
| 35-44 years old | 44\% | 70\% | 59\% | 51\% | 48\% |
| 45-54 years old | 41\% | 32\% | 61\% | 68\% | 67\% |
| 55-64 years old | 51\% | 50\% | 71\% | 73\% | 67\% |
| 65 or older | 54\% | 60\% | 70\% | 69\% | 67\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 40\% | 51\% | 67\% | 62\% | 63\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 54\% | 61\% | 71\% | 67\% | 61\% |

## LEADERSHIP

## Confidence in Local Elected Leaders?

## $46 \%$ of Sangamon County residents reported being confident that local elected leaders can effectively address local problems.

This evaluation was most common among female respondents (49\%), individuals ages 65 and older ( $54 \%$ ), white respondents ( $48 \%$ ), individuals making less than $\$ 30,000$ a year ( $53 \%$ ), those born outside of Sangamon County ( $51 \%$ ), and individuals with graduate degrees ( $63 \%$ ).

## Confidence in State Elected Leaders?



## $24 \%$ of Sangamon County residents reported being confident that State leaders can effectively address problems.

In general, women ( $30 \%$ ) are more confident in state elected officials than men ( $18 \%$ ). Individuals between the ages of 18 and 34 are the most confident ( $33 \%$ ) while those aged 35 to 44 and 45 to 54 are the least confident ( $15 \%$ ). Those without a high school diploma ( $47 \%$ ) have the highest level of confidence in elected state government officials of all the education groups. Those reporting an annual household income of less than $\$ 30,000$ are much more confident ( $45 \%$ ) than the other income brackets. Those born outside of Sangamon County (29\%) are more likely to express confidence in state government leaders than those born in Sangamon Countv (19\%).

## Confidence in Federal Elected Leaders?

## $24 \%$ of Sangamon County residents are confident that federal elected leaders can effectively address problems.

Women ( $29 \%$ ) are more likely than men ( $18 \%$ ) to report being confident in federal level leaders. Nonwhite respondents $(34 \%)$ are more likely than white respondents ( $21 \%$ ) to report being confident in federal elected officials. Those with less than a high school education ( $47 \%$ ) are more likely than other education groups to report being confident in federal elected officials. Those born outside of Sangamon County are more likely ( $28 \%$ ) than those born in Sangamon County ( $19 \%$ ).

Confidence in Leaders Since 2013


Table 14. Attitudes Towards Leadership by Demographics

| Demographics | Confident in Unelected Local Leaders | Confident in Local Elected Leaders | Confident in State Elected Leaders | Confident in Federal Elected Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 60\% | 46\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Male | 62\% | 44\% | 18\% | 18\% |
| Female | 59\% | 49\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| White | 62\% | 48\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Nonwhite | 54\% | 39\% | 36\% | $34 \%$ |
| Less than HS | 60\% | 47\% | 47\% | 47\% |
| High School | 64\% | 46\% | 28\% | 26\% |
| Some college | 53\% | 43\% | 24\% | 21\% |
| Bachelor's | 62\% | 41\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Graduate degree | 67\% | 63\% | 23\% | 20\% |
| Less than $\$ 29,999$ | 52\% | 53\% | 45\% | 35\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 57\% | 37\% | 21\% | 19\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 66\% | 48\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| $\$ 100,000$ or greater | 66\% | 52\% | 21\% | 30\% |
| 18-34 years old | 65\% | 51\% | 33\% | 27\% |
| 35-44 years old | 46\% | 41\% | 15\% | 20\% |
| 45-54 years old | 61\% | 39\% | 15\% | 22\% |
| 55-64 years old | 63\% | 49\% | 28\% | 22\% |
| 65 or older | 69\% | 54\% | 30\% | 28\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 54\% | 41\% | 19\% | 19\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 65\% | 51\% | 29\% | 28\% |

## PERSONAL HEALTH

## 93\%

of Sangamon County residents have
healthcare coverage
healthcare coverage

89\%
of Sangamon County residents have a primary health care provider

## Health Status

When asked about their health in the past 30 days, $39 \%$ report that there was at least one day in which their physical health was not good, and $41 \%$ report at least one day in whic their mental health was not good. $29 \%$ report having at least one bad physical health day anı at least one bad mental health day in the past 30 days. These results vary greatly based on gender, race, income, education, age and whether someone is originally from the area.


When comparing 2019 rates to the 2017 edition of this survey we find that a higher percentage of Sangamon County residents in 2019 report that their physical health was not good on at least one day and a higher percentage report that their mental health was not good at least one day out of the month compared to 2017. Since 2013, reports of poor physical health decreased by about 14 percentage points, but reports of poor mental health have more than doubled.

> Percent of Respondents Who Report Experiencing the Following At Least One Day in the Past 30 Days


## Healthcare

- $93 \%$ of all respondents report having healthcare. While slightly lower than 2017 and 2015, this is a higher rate than 2013.
- $89 \%$ of all respondents report having a primary care physician, similar to rates in 2015 and 2017 and slightly higher than 2013.
- $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ of white respondents report having healthcare compared to $87 \%$ of non-white respondents.
$38 \%$ of respondents with less than a high diploma report having healthcare. This is dramatically lower than other education groups.
$\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ of respondents ages 18 to 34 report having healthcare, the lowest of the five age groups.

Healthcare Since 2013 ■ 2013 - 2015 ■ 2017 ■ 2019

Table 15. Attitudes Towards Health Issues by Demographics

| Demographics | Has <br> Healthcare | Has a <br> Primary Care <br> Doctor? | Physical Health Was Not Good At Least One Day | Mental Health Was Not Good At Least One Day | There was At Least One Day Where Each Was Not Good |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 93\% | 89\% | 39\% | 41\% | 28\% |
| Male | 94\% | 85\% | 34\% | 34\% | 23\% |
| Female | 91\% | 92\% | 41\% | 46\% | 29\% |
| White | 94\% | 90\% | 45\% | 37\% | 24\% |
| Nonwhite | 87\% | 87\% | 37\% | 56\% | 39\% |
| Less than HS | 38\% | 100\% | 25\% | 75\% | 13\% |
| High School | 95\% | 89\% | 42\% | 36\% | 33\% |
| Some college | 89\% | 87\% | 47\% | 48\% | 32\% |
| Bachelor's | 96\% | 93\% | 32\% | 39\% | 23\% |
| Graduate degree | 93\% | 88\% | 27\% | 34\% | 18\% |
| Less than \$29,999 | 85\% | 86\% | 54\% | 69\% | 50\% |
| \$30,000-\$59,999 | 92\% | 84\% | 39\% | 42\% | 29\% |
| \$60,000-\$99,999 | 95\% | 92\% | 43\% | 40\% | 25\% |
| $\$ 100,000$ or greater | 94\% | 91\% | 26\% | 27\% | 18\% |
| 18-34 years old | 84\% | 73\% | 31\% | 65\% | 29\% |
| 35-44 years old | 86\% | 88\% | 38\% | 54\% | 34\% |
| 45-54 years old | 94\% | 87\% | 40\% | 33\% | 23\% |
| 55-64 years old | 94\% | 92\% | 40\% | 35\% | 30\% |
| 65 or older | 99\% | 97\% | 41\% | 27\% | 20\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 89\% | 87\% | 42\% | 48\% | 32\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 95\% | 91\% | 37\% | 35\% | 23\% |

## SOCIAL HEALTH

## Issues Facing the Community

Respondents were asked to rate how serious of a problem a number of issues were to their community. The below table summarizes those respondents who identified an issue as extremely or very serious.

| Issue | Percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Obesity <br> Opioid Abuse | $54 \%$ |
| Access to Mental | $48 \%$ |
| Healthcare | $44 \%$ |
| Tobacco Use | $43 \%$ |
| Access to Safe and | $35 \%$ |
| Affordable Housing | $29 \%$ |
| Gambling Addiction | $20 \%$ |
| Access to Healthcare |  |

## Percent of Male and Female Respondents Who Consider The Following Issues Extremely or Very Serious in the Community



## Men and Women Have Differing Views

As visualized through the graph in the top right corner of this page, male and female respondents have large differences of opinion when it comes to the level seriousness of issues facing the county.

## Differing Views Across Race

The issue of greatest concern for white respondents is obesity ( $56 \%$ ) compared to the lack of access to mental healthcare (49\%) for non-white respondents. Nonwhite respondents were also nearly twice as likely ( $30 \%$ ) as white respondents ( $17 \%$ ) to report a lack of access to healthcare is an extremely or very serious issue.

## Income and Issue Seriousness

Those reporting an annual household income under $\$ 30,000$ a year highlight tobacco use ( $61 \%$ ) and a lack of affordable housing $(56 \%)$ as the two most serious issues. For those reporting an income between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 59,999$ obesity ( $52 \%$ ) and opioid abuse ( $50 \%$ ) were the two most cited issues. For those reporting $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ the two highest issues were obesity ( $60 \%$ ) and lack of access to mental healthcare ( $45 \%$ ). Those reporting an income of at least $\$ 100,000$ also selected obesity (55\%) and lack of access to mental healthcare ( $46 \%$ )

Table 16. Percent of Respondents Who Consider An Issue Extremely or Very Serious by Demographics

| Demographics | Obesity | Opioid <br> Abuse | Mental <br> Healthcare | Tobacco <br> Use | Housing | Gambling | Healthcare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | $54 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Male | $46 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Female | $61 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| White | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Nonwhite | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than HS | $57 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| High School | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Some college | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Bachelor's | $66 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Graduate degree | $58 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Less than $\$ 29,999$ | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $\$ 30,000-\$ 59,999$ | $52 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| \$60,000 - \$99,999 | $60 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ or greater | $55 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 18-34 years old | $47 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $35-44$ years old | $63 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| $45-54$ years old | $51 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 55-64 years old | $56 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 65 or older | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Born in Sangamon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | $55 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Born Elsewhere | $54 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

## ENVIRONMENT



## Plastic Bag Policies

The highest level of support for banning plastic bags came from those with less than a high school diploma ( $42 \%$ ).

The largest level of support for charging a five cent fee for a plastic bag comes from those ages 18 to 34 (34\%).

The highest level of opposition for either proposed policy comes from those with a high school diploma ( $68 \%$ ).

Compared to other questions, there are smaller differences between white and non-white respondents.

## 72\% <br> of survey respondents reported that recycling does more to help the environment compared to $28 \%$ who said it does more to make people feel good.

## 76\%

Offemale respondents think recycling does more to belp the environment compared to $68 \%$ of men

## 79\%

Of those ages 35 to 44 think recycling does more to help the environment. The bighest of the age groups

$$
76 \%
$$

Of those reporting a household income between \$30,000 and \$59,999 report recycling does more to belp environment. The bighest of the income groups.

Table 17. Attitudes Towards Recycling by Demographics
$\left.\begin{array}{|cccccc|}\hline \text { Demographics } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Recycling Does } \\ \text { More to Help The } \\ \text { Environment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Supports a } \\ \text { Recycling Does } \\ \text { More to Make } \\ \text { People Feel Good } \\ \text { Charge on }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Supports Banning } \\ \text { Plastic Bags }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Support No } \\ \text { Change }\end{array} \\ \text { Rlastic Bags }\end{array}\right]$

## Cultural and Recreational Options



- Consistently, those not originally from Sangamon County rate cultural and recreation options in the county higher than those originally from Sangamon County.
- Of the two cultural options we've polled on since 2013 parks are tied for their highest rating and libraries are slightly below their 2017 high, which was a large increase from 2015.
- White respondents rate parks, educational opportunities, and outdoor concerts higher than non-white respondents.
- Men rate parks and community educational offerings higher than women while women rate libraries and outdoor recreation



## Satisfaction With Access to Cultural and Recreation Options



Table 18. Attitudes Towards Local Cultural and Recreational Options by Demographics

| Demographics | Parks in Sangamon County Are Excellent or Good | Libraries in <br> Sangamon County Are <br> Excellent or Good | Outdoor Recreation Options In Sangamon County Are Excellent or Good | Community <br> Educational Options in Sangamon County Are Excellent or Good | Outdoor Concerts and Festivals in Sangamon County Are Excellent or Good | Satisfied with Current Access to Local Recreational and Cultural Events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All <br> Respondents | 77\% | 75\% | 60\% | 56\% | 54\% | 44\% |
| Male | 80\% | 72\% | 60\% | 56\% | 54\% | 44\% |
| Female | 77\% | 78\% | 62\% | 55\% | 54\% | 44\% |
| White | 80\% | 74\% | 62\% | 58\% | 57\% | 29\% |
| Nonwhite | 67\% | 76\% | 66\% | 47\% | 40\% | 48\% |
| Less than HS | 40\% | 60\% | 36\% | 33\% | 36\% | 46\% |
| High School | 74\% | 77\% | 65\% | 61\% | 54\% | 46\% |
| Some college | 73\% | 71\% | 58\% | 52\% | 49\% | $41 \%$ |
| Bachelor's | 86\% | 80\% | 63\% | 58\% | 56\% | 48\% |
| Graduate degree | 79\% | 73\% | 56\% | 56\% | 59\% | 54\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 29,999 \end{aligned}$ | 72\% | 81\% | 64\% | 61\% | 46\% | 38\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 30,000- \\ \$ 59,999 \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 73\% | 55\% | 43\% | 54\% | 37\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 60,000- \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 67\% | 58\% | 57\% | 52\% | 43\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { greater } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 80\% | 67\% | 59\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| 18-34 years old | 78\% | 85\% | 60\% | 46\% | 54\% | 37\% |
| 35-44 years old | 69\% | 60\% | 47\% | 35\% | 44\% | 38\% |
| 45-54 years old | 64\% | 70\% | 53\% | 54\% | 45\% | $33 \%$ |
| 55-64 years old | 83\% | 73\% | 65\% | 62\% | 60\% | 48\% |
| 65 or older | 90\% | 83\% | 72\% | 73\% | 64\% | 55\% |
| Born in Sangamon County | 73\% | 70\% | 54\% | 46\% | 47\% | 36\% |
| Born Elsewhere | 82\% | 80\% | 66\% | 65\% | 60\% | 50\% |

Social Well-being and Public Safety
On a scale of $0-10$, how likely are you to recommend the Springfield area to someone who was considering moving to the area?

| 0 | $11 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $2 \%$ |
| 2 | $5 \%$ |
| 3 | $9 \%$ |
| 4 | $8 \%$ |
| 5 | $15 \%$ |
| 6 | $9 \%$ |
| 7 | $13 \%$ |
| 8 | $15 \%$ |
| 9 | $7 \%$ |
| 10 | $6 \%$ |

Generally speaking, would you say that things in Sangamon County are heading in the right direction or are they off on the wrong track?
Right track
52\%
Wrong direction $48 \%$
In general, do you think race relations in your community are very good, fairly good, fairly bad, or very bad?

| Very good | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fairly good | $65 \%$ |
| Fairly bad | $24 \%$ |
| Very bad | $4 \%$ |

Do you think everyone in your community is treated equally, in other words, does everyone get a "fair shake?"

| Yes | $35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $65 \%$ |

Do you think Sangamon County is a welcoming place for people like you?

| Yes | $84 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $16 \%$ |

Have you personally experienced or been treated unfairly because of your racial or ethnic background? Yes

18\%
No
82\%

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people? Most people can be $47 \%$ trusted
Can't be too careful $53 \%$

Do you think Sangamon County is an excellent, good, fair, or poor...

| Place to live |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Excellent | $9 \%$ |
| Good | $54 \%$ |
| Fair | $28 \%$ |
| Poor | $8 \%$ |

Place to work?
Excellent

Good $46 \%$
Fair 37\%

Poor 8\%

| Place to retire? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Excellent | $7 \%$ |
| Good | $30 \%$ |
| Fair | $31 \%$ |
| Poor | $33 \%$ |


| Place to raise children? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Excellent | $8 \%$ |
| Good | $53 \%$ |
| Fair | $32 \%$ |
| Poor | $8 \%$ |

In the past twelve months, have you considered moving out of or away from Sangamon County?
Yes $57 \%$

No
43\%

Have there been times in the past 12 months when you did not have enough money to pay for $\qquad$ that you or your family needed?
Food
Yes
18\%
No
82\%

| Shelter |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $12 \%$ |
| No | $88 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Healthcare and/or medicines |  |
| Yes | $28 \%$ |
| No | $72 \%$ |
| Utilities or Internet |  |
| Yes | $23 \%$ |
| No | $77 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Transportation | $15 \%$ |
| Yes | $85 \%$ |
| No |  |

How much trust do you have in the police in your area to keep you and your family safe?

| Great deal of trust | $60 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| A fair amount | $35 \%$ |
| None at all | $4 \%$ |

Do you feel safe or unsafe walking alone at night in your neighborhood?

| Safe | $65 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unsafe | $35 \%$ |

Are you the parent or primary guardian of a school age child?

| Yes | $24 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $76 \%$ |

Ifyes: Is your child currently enrolled in a public school or a private parochial school? Or, is your child home schooled?

| Public school | $87 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Private/parochial school | $12 \%$ |
| Homeschooled | $1 \%$ |

Ifyes: If you had to rate the quality of education that your oldest (or only) child is receiving would you say that it is...?
Excellent 36\%
Good
36\%
Fair
22\%
Poor 6\%

In what school district do you currently live?
Springfield 61\%

Ball Chatham $\quad 12 \%$
Pleasant Plans $4 \%$
Auburn 4\%
New Berlin 3\%
Williamsville 3\%
Riverton 3\%
Tri City 1\%
Athens 1\%
Pawnee $\quad 1 \%$
Other 7\%
Do any of your children live in Sangamon County?
Yes
No
No

Do any of your adult children live outside of Sangamon County?

| Yes | $60 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $40 \%$ |

Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, government plans such as Medicare, or Indian Health Service?
Yes $93 \%$
No 7\%

Do you have anyone who you think of as your personal doctor or primary health care provider?
Yes
89\%
No
11\%




Have you visited downtown Springfield in the past month for recreation, shopping, or other purposes not related to work?

| Yes | $57 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $43 \%$ |

## How would you rate downtown Springfield on the following?

| Restaurants |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Excellent | $17 \%$ |
| Good | $49 \%$ |
| Fair | $30 \%$ |
| Poor | $5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Cultural Activities (e.g. museums, tours) |  |


| Excellent | $23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good | $43 \%$ |
| Fair | $29 \%$ |

Poor 5\%
Nightlife
Excellent
$5 \%$
Good 31\%
Fair 41\%
Poor 24\%

Shopping
Excellent $\quad 4 \%$
Good 23\%
Fair 43\%
Poor $30 \%$

Cleanliness
Excellent $\quad 8 \%$
Good 44\%
Fair 39\%
Poor $\quad 10 \%$

| Safety |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Excellent | $6 \%$ |
| Good | $37 \%$ |
| Fair | $43 \%$ |
| Poor | $15 \%$ |


| How important, if at all, do you think growing |
| :--- |
| downtown Springfield is to... |
| The area's economy |
| Very important |


| Somewhat important |
| :--- |
| Neither unimportant or |
| important |


| Not very important |
| :--- | :--- |

Not at all important $\quad 30 \%$

The population of Sangamon County has declined in recent years. Over the next five years, would you like to see the population increase, stay where it is now, or decrease further?

| Increase | $62 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Stay where it is now | $31 \%$ |
| Decrease further | $7 \%$ |

Which of the following comes closest to your view even if neither is exactly correct? Immigrants help 70\% Sangamon County and make it a better place to
live
Immigrants hurt 30\%
Sangamon County and make it a worse place to live

Do you think young people are better off staying in Sangamon County or are better off moving elsewhere? Better off Staying 21\%
Better off moving
79\% elsewhere

| How often, if at all, do you attend religious services? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| More than once a week | 8\% |
| Once a week | 27\% |
| One to three times a month | 4\% |
| At least twice a year | 17\% |
| Less often than twice a year | 14\% |
| Never | 30\% |
| Were you born in Sangamon County? |  |
| Yes | 48\% |
| No | 52\% |
| What is the highest grade of school that you completed? Is it... |  |
| Less than high school diploma | 3\% |
| High school diploma or GED | 26\% |
| Trade school or technical training after high school | 5\% |
| Some college | 26\% |
| Four-year college degree | 25\% |
| An Advanced degree (PhD, MD, Law) | 16\% |

Did you get any of these degrees from a local college or university?

| Yes | $41 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | $59 \%$ |

What is your gender?

Male
Female 45\%

Prefer not to say 52\%

What is your disability status?
Have a disability
15\%
Do you not have a
85\%
disability
Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as...
A Republican $35 \%$
A Democrat 28\%
An Independent 38\%

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as...
Conservative $32 \%$

Moderate $51 \%$
Liberal 17\%
What is your marital status?
Single, living alone $\quad 18 \%$
Living with someone but $\quad 12 \%$
not married
Married $56 \%$

Divorced 8\%
Widowed 7\%
What was your household's income last year before taxes?
Less than $\$ 15,000$ a year $9 \%$
$\$ 15,000-\$ 30,000 \quad 19 \%$
$\$ 30,001-\$ 45,000 \quad 33 \%$
$\$ 45,001-\$ 60,000 \quad 47 \%$
$\$ 60,001-\$ 75,000 \quad 10 \%$
$\$ 75,001-\$ 100,000 \quad 16 \%$
More than \$100,000 27\%
In what year were you born?
$18-34$ years old $13 \%$
$35-44$ years old $\quad 23 \%$
$45-54$ years old $19 \%$
$55-64$ years old $\quad 21 \%$
65 or older $24 \%$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division (2017). Annual Estimates
    ${ }^{2}$ U.S. Census Bureau. (2019). 2017 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates Population
    ${ }^{3}$ lbid
    ${ }^{4}$ U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division (2017). Annual Estimates

