Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking

Washington State University, Fall 2006

For each of the seven criteria below, assess the work by:

- a) circling specific phrases that describe the work, and writing comments
- b) circling a numeric score

Note: A score of 4 represents competency for a student graduating from WSU.

1. Identifies, summarizes (and appropriately reformulates) the **problem**, **question**, **or issue**.

Emerging		Developing			Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Does not attempt t identify and summa		Summarizes issue, though some aspects are incorrect or confused. Nuances and key details are missing or glossed over.		Clearly identifies the challenge and subsidiary, embedded, or implicit aspects of the issue. Identifies integral relationships essential to analyzing the issue.		
Comments:						

2. Identifies and considers the influence of **context** * and **assumptions**.

Emerging		Deve	eloping	Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6
Approach to the issue is in egocentric or socio-centric terms. Does not relate issue to other contexts (cultural, political, historical, etc.).		Presents and explores relevant contexts and assumptions regarding the issue, although in a limited way.		Analyzes the issue with a clear sense of scope and context, including an assessment of audience. Considers other integral contexts.	
Analysis is grounded in absolutes, with little acknowledgment of own biases.		Analysis includes some outside verification, but primarily relies on established authorities.		Analysis acknowledges complexity and bias of vantage and values, although may elect to hold to bias in context.	
Does not recognize context or surface assumptions and underlying ethical implications, or does so superficially.		Provides some recognition of context and consideration of assumptions and their implications.		Identifies influence questions assumpti ethical dimensions issue.	ons, addressing
Comments:					

Contexts may include:

Cultural/social	Scientific
Group, national, ethnic behavior/attitude	Conceptual, basic science, scientific method
Educational	Economic
Schooling, formal training	Trade, business concerns costs
Technological	Ethical
Applied science, engineering	Values
Political	Personal Experience
Organizational or governmental	Personal observation, informal character

3. Develops, presents, and communicates **OWN** perspective, hypothesis or position.

	Deve	loping		Mastering	
2	3	4	5	6	
Position or hypothesis is clearly inherited or adopted with little original consideration.		Position includes some original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted.		Position demonstrates ownership for constructing knowledge or framing original questions, integrating objective analysis and intuition.	
g to clarify the		., ,	esis, Appropriately identifies own position on the issue, drawing support from experience, and information not available from assigned sources.		
Fails to present and justify own opinion or forward hypothesis.		Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially.		Clearly presents and justifies own view or hypothesis while qualifying or integrating contrary views or interpretations.	
sis is unclear or			Position or hypothe sophisticated, integrition is developed clearly	rative thought and	
	sis is clearly d with little original source or view of g to clarify the relative to one's	2 Sis is clearly d with little original d with little original synthesizes or external assertions, although may have been addressed to clarify the relative to one's Justify own hypothesis. Presents and justify without addressing does so superficially sis is unclear or Position or hypothesis.	sis is clearly d with little original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted. Presents own position or hypothesis, though inconsistently. Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially.	position includes some original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted. Presents own position or hypothesis, though inconsistently. Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially. Position demonstrate constructing knowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects original questions, objective analysis and original questions, objective analysis and proportion or hypothesis, and influence and influence are available from assignment of the presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially. Position or hypothesis is generally clear, although gaps may exist. Position or hypothesis sophisticated, integrating contractions.	

4. Presents, assesses, and analyzes appropriate supporting data/evidence.

Emerging Developing				Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6
No evidence of search, selection or source evaluation skills.		Demonstrates adequate skill in searching, selecting, and evaluating sources to meet the information need.		Evidence of search, selection, and source evaluation skills; notable identification of uniquely salient resources.	
Repeats information provided without question or dismisses evidence without adequate justification.		Use of evidence is qualified and selective.		Examines evidence and its source; questions its accuracy, relevance, an completeness.	
Does not distinguish opinion, and value		Discerns fact from or recognize bias in evattribution is inapport	vidence, although	Demonstrates unde facts shape but ma opinion. Recognizes selection bias.	y not confirm
Conflates cause and presents evidence a sequence.	,	Distinguishes causa correlation, though be flawed.	-	Correlations are dis relationships betwee ideas. Sequence of reflects clear organ subordinating for in impact.	een and among presentation ization of ideas,
Data/evidence or so simplistic, inapprop related to topic.		Appropriate data/ev provided, although appears to have be	exploration	Information need is and integrated to n assignment, course interests.	neet and exceed
Comments:					

5. Integrates issue using <u>OTHER</u> (disciplinary) perspectives and positions.

Emerging		Deve	eloping	Masteri	
1	2	3	4	5	6
Deals with a single perspective and fails to discuss others' perspectives.		Begins to relate alternative views to qualify analysis.		Addresses others' perspectives and additional diverse perspectives draw from outside information to qualify analysis.	
Adopts a single idea or limited ideas with little question. If more than one idea is presented, alternatives are not integrated.		Rough integration of multiple viewpoints and comparison of ideas or perspectives. Ideas are investigated and integrated, but in a limited way.		Fully integrated perspectives from variety of sources; any analogies are used effectively.	
Engages ideas that are obvious or agreeable. Avoids challenging or discomforting ideas.		Engages challenging ideas tentatively or in ways that overstate the conflict. May dismiss alternative views hastily. Analysis of other positions is		Integrates own and others' ideas in complex process of judgment and justification. Clearly justifies own viewhile respecting views of others.	
	reats other positions superficially or nisrepresents them.		thoughtful and mostly accurate.		ositions is accurate, ectful.
Little integration of little or no evidence others' views. No e reflection or self-as	e of attending to vidence of	Acknowledges and integrates different ways of knowing. Some evidence of reflection and/or self-assessment.		Integrates differen epistemological wa Connects to career responsibilities. Ev and self-assessme	ys of knowing. and civic idence of reflection
Comments:					

6. Identifies and assesses conclusions, implications, and consequences.

Emerging Developing					
1	2	3	4	5	6
Fails to identify conclusions, implications, and consequences, or conclusion is a simplistic summary.		Conclusions consider or provide evidence of consequences extending beyond a single discipline or issue. Presents implications that may impact other people or issues.		Identifies, discusses, and extends conclusions, implications, and consequences. Considers context, assumptions, data, and evidence. Qualifies own assertions with balance.	
Conclusions present and may attribute of external authority.		Presents conclusion only loosely related Implications may in reference to conclu	to consequences.	ences. available evidence within the contex	
Comments:					

7. Communicates effectively.

Emerging		Deve	loping		Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
In many places, language obscures meaning.		In general, language does not interfere with communication.		Language clearly and effectively communicates ideas. May at times be nuanced and eloquent.		
Grammar, syntax, or other errors are distracting or repeated. Little evidence of proofreading. Style is inconsistent or inappropriate.		Errors are not distracting or frequent, although there may be some problems with more difficult aspects of style and voice.		Errors are minimal. Style is appropriate for audience.		
organized; lacks log	Basic organization is apparent; transitions connect ideas, although they may be mechanical. Format is appropriate although at times inconsistent.		ideas, although inical. Format is	Organization is clear; transitions between ideas enhance presentar Consistent use of appropriate for Few problems with other compon of presentation.		
Few sources are cited or used correctly.		Most sources are ci correctly.	ted and used	All sources are cite correctly, demonstrunderstanding of esocial issues involvinformation.	rating conomic, legal and	
Comments:						

Overall Rating

	Criteria	Score
1.	Identify problem, question, or issue	
2.	Consider context and assumptions	
3.	Develop own position or hypothesis	
4.	Present and analyze supporting data	
5.	Integrate other perspectives	
6.	Identify conclusions and implications	
7.	Communicate effectively	
Con	nments:	