General Education Scoring Guide for Critical Thinking California State University, Fresno (sample analytic rubric)

| Scoring Level | Interpretation | Analysis & Evaluation | Presentation |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| 4 - Accomplished | Analyzes insightful questions | - Examines conclusions | - Argues succinctly |
| | Refutes bias | Uses reasonable judgment | Discusses issues thoroughly |
| | - Critiques content | Discriminates rationally | - Shows intellectual honesty |
| | Examines inconsistencies | – Synthesizes data | – Justifies decisions |
| | – Values information | Views information critically | Assimilates information |
| 3 - Competent | Asks insightful questions | Formulates conclusions | – Argues clearly |
| | Detects bias.Categorizes content. | Recognizes argumentsNotices differences | Identifies issuesAttributes sourcesnaturally |
| | Identifies inconsistencies | – Evaluates data | – Suggests solutions |
| | Recognizes context | Seeks out information | Incorporates information |
| 2 - Developing | Identifies some questions | Identifies some conclusions | Misconstructs arguments |
| | Notes some bias | Sees some arguments | – Generalizes issues |
| | Recognizes basic content | Identifies some differences | – Cites sources |
| | States some inconsistencies | – Paraphrases data | – Presents few options |
| | Selects sources adequately | Assumes information valid | Overlooks some information |
| 1 - Beginning | Fails to question data | - Fails to draw conclusions | - Omits argument |
| | Ignores biasMisses major content areas | Sees no argumentsOverlooks differences | Misrepresents issuesExcludes data |
| | Detects no inconsistencies | – Repeats data | – Draws faulty conclusions |
| | - Chooses biased sources | – Omits research | Shows intellectual dishonesty |

CSU Fresno, Downloaded 3/2/05 from

http://www.csufresno.edu/cetl/assessment/CTScoring.doc