2019 ABFM Washington, D.C. September 26, 2019

### Strategic Interaction in Urban Infrastructure Finance: A Spatial Panel Econometric Analysis of Chinese Prefecture-Level Cities

Can Chen, Assistant Professor, Florida International University Kenneth A. Kriz, Professor, University of Illinois at Springfield Shuanglin Lin, Professor, University of Nebraska at Omaha and Peking University

### **Research Motivation I**

- Incorporate strategic interaction into the study of Chinese urban infrastructure finance
- Test the notion that cities respond strategically to the policy decisions of other cities in the policy context of urban infrastructure development
  - Strategic interaction among governments has been the focus of substantial research interests (tax setting, welfare spending)
  - Few studies analyze the strategic interaction of infrastructure investment (Case et al. 1993; Bruce et al. 2007).
  - Extant infrastructure finance literature tends to ignore infrastructure maintenance expenditures, which is also a key component of urban infrastructure spending (Chen 2016; Tong et al. 2018).

## **Research Motivation II**

- Disentangle the possible sources of strategic interaction in Chinese urban infrastructure spending
  - Which mechanism drives the spatial interactions?
    - Expenditure Externality or Spillover
    - Expenditure Competition
    - Yardstick Competition
    - Common Unobserved Shock in the Error Terms?

### Literature review I

- Chinese Urban Infrastructure Finance
  - Historical evolution of Chinese institutions and policies on urban infrastructure finance
    - Chan 1998; Wu 1999; Zhao and Cao 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Zhan, de Jong, and de Bruijn 2018
  - The level and development of urban infrastructure provision
    - Chan 1998; Wu 1999; Lin 2016
  - Models and revenue composition of urban infrastructure finance
    - Zhao & Cao 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Su and Zhao 2007
  - Determinants of urban infrastructure investment
    - Yu et al. 2011; Tong et al. 2018; Qiu, Xu, and Li 2018

### Literature review II

- Strategic Interaction in Public Finance (Brueckner 2003)
  - Spillover Models
    - The first type models strategic interaction as a function of "spillovers" of decisions from other jurisdictions (Case et al. 1993)
    - A second type is the "yardstick competition" model. Voters in a jurisdiction look at public service and tax levels in other jurisdictions to help judge whether their government is using its resources efficiently (Besley and Case 1995)
  - Resource-Flow Models
    - Jurisdictions are not directly affected by the decisions of other jurisdictions but must compete for a mobile resource
    - Tax competition (Beck 1983; Wilson 1986; Ladd 1992)
    - Welfare competition (Saavedra 2000; Volden 2002)

### **Research Questions**

- Do Chinese city governments behave strategically in making both infrastructure capital and maintenance spending decisions?
- What are the potential sources of strategic interaction of urban infrastructure investment (e.g., expenditure competition, yardstick competition, or expenditure spillovers)?

# **Model Specification**

The reduced urban infrastructure spending function for city *i* is as follows:

 $I_i = R(I_{-i}, X_i)$ 

#### The baseline spatial econometric is specified as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \rho \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} Y_{jt} + X_{it}\beta + \omega_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$
$$\varepsilon_{it} = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{N} W_{ij} v_{it} + u_{it}$$

The inverse distance-based weighting matrix is preferred weighting scheme

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{d_{ij}}$$

# **Unit of Analysis**

- Unit: Chinese 277 prefecture-level cities
- Time Period: 2001 and 2012 (twelve-year panel data).
  - (1) ensuring the total investment covered the same municipal infrastructure sectors over time
  - (2) ensuring the availability of data.
- Total Observations: 3324

### **Data and Variables**

#### Table 1. Variable Definition and Data Sources

Variables	Description	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Data Sources
Dependent Variables						
Total Urban Infrastructure Spending	Total annual fixed assets investment in urban infrastructure (real per capita)	331	743	0.66	19463	China's Urban Construction Statistical
Infrastructure Capital Spanding	Chinese Yuan Total annual fixed assets investment in	100	520	0.5	10280	Yearbook China's Urban
initastructure Capital Spending	urban infrastructure (real per capita)	199	529	0.5	19360	Construction Statistical
	Chinese Yuan					Yearbook
Infrastructure Maintenance Spending	Total annual maintenance expenditure in	83	242	0.2	6155	China's Urban
	Chinese Yuan					Yearbook
Independent Variables						
Public Demand						
Population Density	Total city population divided by total land	412	364	4	11564	China City Statistical
Thermination	area (persons per square kilometer)	0.11	0.02	0.00	0.42	Yearbook China City Statistical
Ordanization	The share of people nying in urban area	0.11	0.03	0.09	0.45	Yearbook
Urban Household Income	Urban household income (real per capita) Chinese Yuan	12577	6675	1881	164741	China City Statistical Yearbook
Urban-Rural Income Disparity	Ratio of urban household income divided by rural household income	2.71	0.84	0.33	28.66	China City Statistical Yearbook
Government Supply						
Economic Development	Real GDP per capita (Chinese Yuan)	22069	19894	1394	183505	China City Statistical Yearbook
% Own-Source Revenue	Ratio of city own revenue sources in total city revenue	0.49	0.22	0.037	0.94	China City Statistical Yearbook
Fiscal Deficit	(General fiscal expenditure minus general fiscal revenues) divided by total population	-0.12	0.16	-4.8	0.15	China City Statistical Yearbook
Political Factors	,,,,,,, _					
Party Secretary's Tenure	Prefectural party secretary's accumulated year in office by the end of that year	1.8	1.7	0.5	9	The Chinese Political Elite Database
Party Secretary's Distance to Retirement	60 minus a prefectural party secretary's age	8.5	3.79	0	21	The Chinese Political Elite Database

### Descriptive Exploration of Spatial Autocorrealtion in Urban Infrastructure Spending I: The Global Moran's I Statistics

#### Table 2. Global Moran's I Statistics Based on the Cross-Sectional Data

(On Average 2001-2012)

	χ <sup>2</sup> test	p-Value for Model Test
Total Infrastructure Expenditure Per Capita	chi2(1) =48.11	Prob > chi2 =0.0000
Infrastructure Capital Expenditure Per Capita	chi2(1)=37.44	Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Infrastructure Maintenance Expenditure Per Capita	chi2(1)=31.15	Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

### Descriptive Exploration of Spatial Autocorrealtion in Urban Infrastructure Spending II: The Thematic Maps



**Figure 1. Average City Infrastructure Expenditure Per Capita During 2001-2012** Source: China's Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook

### Descriptive Exploration of Spatial Autocorrealtion in Urban Infrastructure Spending II: The Thematic Maps



Figure 2. Average City Infrastructure Capital Expenditure Per Capita During 2001-201 Source: China's Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook

Figure 3. Average City Infrastructure Maintenance Expenditure Per Capita During 2001-2012 Source: China's Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook

#### Baseline Spatial Panel Regression Results

Table 3. Baseline Spatial Panel Regression Results			
Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra	Capital Exp	Maintenance
	Exp	PC	Exp
	PC		PC
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.287***	0.549***	0.217*
	(0.088)	(0.119)	(0.117)
Ln Urban Household Income	0.310	0.340	0.639**
	(0.189)	(0.253)	(0.249)
Ln Pop Density	-0.321***	-0.438***	-0.206
	(0.123)	(0.166)	(0.162)
Urbanization	-1.841	1.971	-6.497***
	(1.354)	(1.823)	(1.777)
Urban and Rural Income Inequality	-0.042	-0.051	-0.092**
	(0.034)	(0.045)	(0.044)
Fiscal Deficit	-0.989***	-1.456***	-0.767***
	(0.124)	(0.168)	(0.165)
% of Own-Source Revenue	0.046	0.042	0.024
	(0.08)	(0.110)	(0.110)
Party Secretary's Tenure	0.004	0.001	0.020*
	(0.008)	(0.01)	(0.012)
Party Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.002	-0.005	0.003
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)
Time Trend	-0.056**	-0.142***	-0.039
	(0.025)	(0.032)	(0.034)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.535***		
······································	(0.190)		
Error, Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.592***		
	(0.181)		
W × Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0.766***	
n En capital Enp i el capita		(0.113)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.581***	
Contraction and a second secon		(0.178)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita		()	0.285*
			(0.159)
Error Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.852***
Concernance Dap i er Capita			(0.055)
Constant	0.756***	1.017***	0.994***
	(0.009)	(0.013)	(0.013)
R-Squares	0.2715	0.3668	0.3752
Observations	3.324	3.324	3.324
Number of groups	277	277	277
THE ST			- / /

#### 14 Further Results Checks: Heterogeneity Across Chinese Regions Table 4. Spatial Panel Regression Results for Different Regions of China The Eastern Region The Middle Region The Western Region Variables Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Maintenance Maintenance Total Capital Maintenance Total Capital Total Infra Capital Infra Exp Exp Exp Infra Exp Exp Exp PC Exp PC Exp Exp PC PC PC PC PC PC PC 0.911\*\*\* Ln Real GDP Per Capita 0.440\*\*\* 0.703\*\*\* 0.261 0.594\*\*\* 0.569\* -0.205 -0.122 -0.077 (0.146) (0.213) (0.189) (0.207)(0.231)(0.310)(0.296)(0.144)(0.198)-0.738\*\*\* 0.121 1.998\*\*\* 2.480\*\*\* 1.844\*\*\* Ln Urban Household Income -1.007\*\*\* -0.195 0.0004 0.066 (0.261) (0.363)(0.420)(0.367)(0.473)(0.428)(0.564) (0.594)(0.495) -0.557\*\*\* Ln Pop Density -0.320\*\* -0.117 -0.300 -0.199 -0.239 -0.653\*\* -0.544 -0.599 (0.132)(0.188)(0.182)(0.450) (0.601)(0.575)(0.316)(0.414)(0.435)Urbanization 4.507\*\* -6.097\*\*\* -13.88\*\* -23.30\*\*\* -0.222 -9.582 -5.796 -11.43 -1.009 (1.330)(1.892)(1.773)(7.008)(9.371) (8.963) (6.168)(8.057) (8.491) Urban Rural Income 0.253\*\* 0.152 0.054 0.079 -0.011 -0.014 -0.184\*\*\* -0.229\*\*\* -0.189\*\*\* Inequality (0.110)(0.153)(0.181)(0.096) (0.129)(0.124)(0.050)(0.066) (0.070)Fiscal Deficit -1.287\*\*\* -1.812\*\*\* -1.374\*\* -1.440\*\*\* -2.872\*\*\* 0.655 -0.679\*\*\* -1.056\*\*\* -0.518\*\* (0.419) (0.591)(0.643) (0.520)(0.705)(0.681)(0.156)(0.205) (0.215) % Own-Source Revenue 0.401\*\* 0.476\* 0.586\* 0.191 0.413\* -0.620\*\*\* -0.228\* -0.500\*\*\* 0.083 (0.189) (0.264)(0.320)(0.162)(0.219)(0.209)(0.136)(0.179)(0.187)0.046\*\* Secretary's Tenure 0.017 0.017 -0.0001 0.002 -0.021 0.001 5.40e-05 0.032 (0.013) (0.019)(0.018)(0.015) (0.020)(0.019)(0.018)(0.023)(0.024)Secretary's Time to -0.009 -0.016 -0.001 0.0003 -0.006 -0.004 0.0004 0.005 0.016 Retirement (0.007)(0.010)(0.009) (0.008)(0.010)(0.010)(0.010)(0.014)(0.014)-0.173\*\* Time Trend 0.012 -0.019 0.036 -0.017 -0.115 -0.035 -0.098\* -0.068 (0.057)(0.077)(0.074)(0.075)(0.029)(0.039)(0.057)(0.054)(0.073)0.807\*\*\* 0.502\*\*\* -0.363 W × Total Infra Exp (0.052) (0.124)(0.243)0.564\*\*\* 0.743\*\*\* Error Total Infra Exp -0.296\* (0.179) (0.118)(0.079)0.886\*\*\* 0.724\*\*\* -0.419\*\* W × Ln Capital Exp (0.030)(0.078)(0.210)-0.396\*\* 0.684\*\*\* 0.881\*\*\* Error Capital Exp (0.090)(0.033)0.578\*\*\* -0.187-0.190 W × Ln Maintenance Exp (0.167)(0.114)(0.246)

-

### Further Results Checks: Use of Alternative Dependent Variables

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra Exp	Capital Exp	Maintenance Exp
	Per km <sup>2</sup>	Per km <sup>2</sup>	Per km <sup>2</sup>
n Real GDP Per Capita	0.323***	0.585***	0.250*
•	(0.089)	(0.119)	(0.117)
In Urban Household Income	0.322*	0.374	0.664***
	(0.189)	(0.254)	(0.249)
In Pop Density	0.709***	0.556***	0.785***
	(0.124)	(0.166)	(0.162)
Jrbanization	-1.900	1.968	<b>-</b> 6.430***
	(1.365)	(1.825)	(1.776)
Irban and Rural Income Inequality	-0.046	-0.057	-0.097**
	(0.034)	(0.045)	(0.044)
iscal Deficit	-0.606***	-1.069***	-0.376**
	(0.126)	(0.169)	(0.165)
% of Own-Source Revenue	0.055	0.030	0.009
	(0.083)	(0.111)	(0.110)
arty Secretary's Tenure	0.005	0.001	0.020*
	(0.009)	(0.01)	(0.012)
arty Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.002	-0.005	0.003
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)
ime Trend	-0.051**	-0.142***	-0.036
	(0.025)	(0.032)	(0.034)
× Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.483***		
	(0.172)		
<u>ror_Total</u> Infra Exp Per Capita	0.635***		
	(0.142)	0 737***	
V × Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0./3/***	
		(0.144)	
rror Capital Exp Per Capita		0.631***	
		(0.188)	0.00.54
/ × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.295*
			(0.159)
ror Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.844***
	0.760***	1.010***	0.004***
onstant	0.762***	1.019***	0.994***
<u>C</u>	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.013)
c-squares	0.1501	0.459	0.2058
Joservations	5,524 277	3,324	3,324
Number of groups	2.1.1	211	2.1.1

# Further Results Checks: Use of Spatial Panel Durbin Model

Table 6. Spatia	al Panel Durbin Reg	ression Results	
Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra Exp	Capital Exp	Maintenance Exp
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.306***	0.571***	0.249**
	(0.089)	(0.120)	(0.117)
Ln Urban Household Income	0.396**	0.389	0.739***
	(0.185)	(0.251)	(0.243)
Ln Pop Density	-0.342***	-0.473***	-0.197
	(0.123)	(0.166)	(0.162)
Urbanization	-1.284	2.904	-6.781***
	(1.364)	(1.836)	(1.790)
Urban, Rural Income Inequality	-0.050	-0.048	-0.104**
1 2	(0.033)	(0.045)	(0.044)
Fiscal Deficit	-1.001***	-1.412***	-0.836***
	(0.126)	(0.169)	(0.166)
% of Own-Source Revenue	0.040	ò.010	-0.032
	(0.088)	(0.119)	(0.116)
Party Secretary's Tenure	0.003	0.0003	0.019
,	(0.009)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Party Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.002	-0.006	0.002
,,	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)
W × Ln Real GDP Per Canita	0.212	-0.086	0.021
w ~ Ell'Idal ODF For Capita	(0.680)	(0.935)	(0.838)
W × I n Urban Household Income	-1 267	-1.611	0 304
w ~ En croan riouschold licollic	(0.901)	(1 244)	(1 132)
W X I n Don Density	-0.720	0.845	0.147
w ~ En Pop Density	(1.677)	(2 350)	(2,106)
W × Urbanization	52 00***	(2.339)	10.11
w ~ Orbanization	(16 71)	(22.20)	(21.20)
W × Urban, Burrl Income Incomelity	(10.71)	(25.20)	(21.20)
w ~ Cloan Kurat income mequanty	(0.285)	(0.204)	(0.264)
W × Eisenl Definit	(0.285)	(0.394)	(0.304)
w × Fiscal Delicit	(1.020)	-4.041	(1.320)
W × W Oran Cranes Brannes	(1.020)	(1.521)	(1.329)
w × % Own-Source Revenue	-0.087	0.032	0.500
	(0.383)	(0.587)	(0.487)
W × Party Secretary's Tenure	0.008	-0.031	-0.014
	(0.062)	(0.089)	(0.077)
W × Party Secretary's Time to	0.0 <b>7</b> 0*		
Retirement	-0.070*	-0.128**	-0.047
	(0.039)	(0.052)	(0.048)
W ×Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.720***		
	(0.010)		
Error, Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.244		
	(0.202)		
W × Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0.803***	
		(0.062)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.361**	
		(0.159)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.791***
			(0.060)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Variables	Total Infra Exp PC	Capital Exp PC	Maintenance Exp PC
Ln Total Infra Exp Per Capita (One-Year Lag)	0.151*** (0.016)		
Ln Capital Exp Per Capita (One-Year Lag)		0.185*** (0.017)	
Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita (One-Year Lag)			0.136*** (0.017)
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.260*** (0.087)	0.496*** (0.117)	0.192* (0.115)
Ln Urban Household Income	0.236 (0.186)	0.245 (0.248)	0.482* (0.247)
Ln Pop Density	-0.328*** (0.122)	-0.358** (0.163)	-0.213
Urbanization	-1.801 (1.331)	1.365	-6.339*** (1.759)
Urban, Rural Income Inequality	-0.025	-0.029	-0.066
Fiscal Deficit	-0.864*** (0.124)	-1.217***	-0.684*** (0.164)
% Own-Source Revenue	-0.010	-0.036	-0.032
Party Secretary's Tenure	0.003	-0.0006	0.017
Party Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.001	-0.003	0.003
Time Trend	-0.031 (0.025)	-0.104*** (0.033)	-0.020 (0.034)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.290**		
Error, Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.791*** (0.064)		
$W \times Ln$ Capital Exp Per Capita	(0.001)	0.603***	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.737*** (0.112)	-
$W \times Ln$ Maintenance Exp Per Capita		(0.112)	0.272*
Error, Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.848***

#### **Table 7. Dynamic Spatial Panel Regression Results**

17

#### Disentangling the Sources of Strategic Interaction in Chinese Urban Infra Finance

10

Table 8. Spatial Panel Estimation Results for the Yardstick Competition Model

(The Political Cycle of The Provincial Communist Party Congress)

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra	Capital Exp	Maintenance Exp
	Exp PC	PC	PC
Pre-One-year Provincial Communist Party Congress	-0.499***	-0.486***	-0.185
	(0.152)	(0.102)	(0.135)
Pre-One-year Provincial Communist Party Congress × Wy	0.091***	0.083*	0.030
	(0.031)	(0.044)	(0.049)
Current year Provincial Communist Party Congress	-0.452***	-0.641***	0.006
	(0.125)	(0.175)	(0.190)
Current year Provincial Communist Party Congress × Wy	0.080***	0.123***	-0.012
Post One Vers Provincial Communist Party Congress	(0.030)	(0.045)	(0.030)
Post One- Fear Provincial Communist Party Congress	(0.133)	(0.185)	(0.200)
Post One was Provincial Communist Party Congress X Way	0.050	0.000**	0.077
rost One-year riovincial Communist raity Congress ~ wy	(0.031)	(0.044)	-0.077
	(0.031)	(0.044)	(0.051)
Li icui obri i ci cupiu	(0.083)	(0.113)	(0.113)
Ln Pop Density	-0.290**	-0.403**	-0.180
	(0.123)	(0.165)	(0.162)
Urban and Rural Income Inequality	-0.004	-0.015	-0.019
	(0.026)	(0.035)	(0.034)
Fiscal Deficit	-1.038***	-1.529***	-0.789***
	(0.121)	(0.165)	(0.165)
Urbanization	-1.640	2.180	-6.333***
De te Gerenter in Terrer	(1.353)	(1.818)	(1.776)
Party Secretary's Tenure	(0.000)	(0.012)	0.0210~
Party Sectory's Time to Retirement	0.003	0.006	0.003
raity sectary's rime to Remember	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.005)
% Own-Source Revenue	0.111	0.120	0.060
	(0.078)	(0.108)	(0.111)
Time Trend	-0.044***	-0.120***	ò.025
	(0.015)	(0.019)	(0.024)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.719***		
	(0.065)		
Error, Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.124		
	(0.162)		
$W \times Ln$ Capital Exp Per Capita		0.842***	
		(0.046)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.308**	
		(0.148)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.304*
			(0.171)
Error_Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.841***
0	A 751444	4 44 1000	(0.003)

#### Table 9. Spatial Panel Estimation Results for the Yardstick Competition Model

(The Political Cycle of City Party Secretary's Tenure in Office)

H

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra Exp	Capital Exp	Maintenance Exp
Variables	PC	PC	PC
Party Secretary's Tenure First Year	-0.267**	-0.0851	-0.158
	(1133)	(0.173)	(0.164)
Party Secretary's Tenure First Year × Wy	0.0519*	0.0212	0.0252
	(0.0279)	(0.0356)	(0.0332)
Party Secretary's Tenure Second Year	0.100	0.182	-0.0151
	(0.147)	(0.195)	(0.186)
Party Secretary's Tenure Second Year × Wy	-0.0233	-0.0364	-0.00243
	(0.0342)	(0.0447)	(0.0421)
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.326***	0.593***	0.297***
	(0.0845)	(0.115)	(0.113)
Ln Pop Density	-0.310**	-0.424**	-0.190
	(0.123)	(0.166)	(0.162)
Urban and Rural Income Inequality	-0.00443	-0.0120	-0.0183
	(0.0261)	(0.0352)	(0.0345)
Fiscal Deficit	-1.726	2.085	-6.304***
	(1.353)	(1.820)	(1.777)
Urbanization	-1.006***	-1.474***	-0.789***
	(0.123)	(0.167)	(0.165)
Party Secretary's Tenure	-0.0101	0.000650	0.00745
	(0.0190)	(0.0256)	(0.0251)
Party Sectary's Time to Retirement	-0.00248	-0.00545	0.00307
24 G G D	(0.00471)	(0.00634)	(0.00617)
% Own-Source Revenue	0.0533	0.0550	0.0406
m: m t	(0.0798)	(0.109)	(0.110)
lime Irend	-0.0356**	-0.110***	0.0230
	(0.0179)	(0.0207)	(0.0242)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.653***		
	(0.140)		
Error, Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.424**		
	(0.216)		_
W × Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0.792***	
		(0.0927)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.532***	
		(0.175)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.307*
			(0.164)
Error, Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.843***
			(0.0599)

#### Disentangling the Sources of Strategic Interaction in Chinese Urban Infra Finance

(Compete for the Mobile Resource—Foreign Direct Investment)			
Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	Total Infra Exp	Capital Exp	Maintenance Exp
	PC	PC	РС
FDI Above Median × Wy	0.032**	0.025	0.049**
	(0.016)	(0.022)	(0.022)
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.312***	0.584***	0.277**
	(0.085)	(0.115)	(0.113)
Ln Pop Density	-0.312**	-0.428***	-0.189
	(0.123)	(0.166)	(0.162)
Urban Rural Income Inequality	-0.007	-0.013	-0.019
	(0.026)	(0.035)	(0.034)
Fiscal Deficit	-1.838	1.986	-6.435***
	(1.354)	(1.822)	(1.778)
Urbanization	-1.025***	-1.485***	-0.807***
	(0.124)	(0.168)	(0.165)
Party Secretary's Tenure	0.004	0.0013	0.020*
	(0.009)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Party Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.002	-0.005	0.003
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)
% Own-Source Revenue	0.055	0.053	0.040
	(0.081)	(0.110)	(0.110)
Time Trend	-0.031*	-0.109***	0.024
	(0.018)	(0.021)	(0.024)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.608***		
	(0.168)		
Error Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.499**		
	(0.207)		
W× Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0.774***	
		(0.111)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.572***	
		(0.181)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.332*
			(0.184)
Error, Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.825***
			(0.076)

A 766444

a . . .

1 017444 0 005444

Table 10. Spatial Panel Estimation Results for the Resource-Flow Model

#### Disentangling the Sources of Strategic Interaction in Chinese Urban Infra Finance

Variables	Model 1 Total Infra Exp PC	Model 2 Capital Exp PC	Model 3 Maintenance Exp PC
Industry Outputs Above Median $\times$ Wy	-0.006 (0.013)	-0.003 (0.018)	0.020 (0.017)
Ln Real GDP Per Capita	0.330*** (0.086)	0.594*** (0.116)	0.283** (0.113)
Ln Pop Density	-0.313**	-0.428*** (0.166)	-0.187 (0.162)
Urban_Rural Income Inequality	-0.007	-0.012	-0.020
Fiscal Deficit	-1.763	2.069	-6.231***
Urbanization	-1.008***	-1.475***	-0.783***
Party Secretary's Tenure	0.004	0.001 (0.012)	0.021*
Party Secretary's Time to Retirement	-0.002 (0.005)	-0.005	0.003
% Own-Source Revenue	0.055 (0.081)	0.054 (0.109)	0.043 (0.110)
Time Trend	-0.029 (0.0183)	-0.109*** (0.021)	0.0261 (0.024)
W × Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.574*** (0.181)		
Error_Total Infra Exp Per Capita	0.551*** (0.195)		
W × Ln Capital Exp Per Capita		0.782*** (0.102)	
Error Capital Exp Per Capita		0.554*** (0.178)	
W × Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.299* (0.158)
Error Ln Maintenance Exp Per Capita			0.849*** (0.056)

Table 11. Spatial Panel Estimation Results for the Resource-Flow Model

# Conclusion

- Chinese city infrastructure expenditures are significantly and positively affected by the action of neighboring cities
- Strategic interaction is stronger in infrastructure capital investment than maintenance expenditure
  - Capital projects usually receive high levels of public visibility and attention (Chen, 2016; Walden and Eryuruk, 2012)
- Regional difference of strategic interaction in urban infra finance
  - Capital expenditures (stronger interaction in the eastern area)
  - Maintenance expenditure (occurs only in the middle area)
- Strong evidence of Yardstick Competition
  - During and one-year before the PCRC
  - The first year after the city party secretary taking office
- Weak and limited evidence of Expenditure Competition