ACADEMIC PROFESSIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes
March 12, 2009
9:00 a.m. Brookens 204D

1) Call to Order
   a. Jerry Burkhart began the meeting at 9:02 a.m. in BRK 232
   b. Members present include Jerry Burkhart, Dick Schuldt, Barbara Cass, Clay Bellot, Shawn Shures, Lori Giordano, Bryan Leonard, Natalie Taylor until 9:55 a.m., and Tyler Tanaka. Absent was Tammy Craig.
   c. Guests present include Patti Simms, Aaron Shures, Rose Schweikhart Cranson, Amanda Jillson & Deanna Boyer until 9:27 a.m., Wes Weisenburn until 10:25 a.m., and Jeannie Mingeaud Capranica.

2) Approval of Agenda
   a. Barbara motioned and Clay 2nd
   b. Motion approved.

3) Approval of Minutes
   a. Clarify Deanie Brown’s statement. Tyler to obtain clarification if she meant to distinguish the difference between AP and Civil Service layoff or if she meant something otherwise.
   b. Clarification provided – change Deanie Brown’s statements (item 4.b.vii.) to “Deanie wanted to say that Layoffs suggest a return to the job when times get better. APs are also vulnerable, as if they are laid off, their contracts are not renewed and there is no guarantee of return to work.”
   c. Barbara motioned to approve minutes from 2/12/2009 with changes.
   d. Natalie 2nd
   e. Motion approved.

4) Guests: Amanda Jillson (TRAC), Deanna Boyer (HR) – Wellness Program
   a. Wes introduced the co-coordinators of the newly created Wellness Collaborative
   b. Deanna and Amanda discussed that the mission of the new collaborative was to foster culture of wellness by educating and seeking partnerships with other departments on campus since everyone owns their own wellness. Amanda continued by stating that by having partnerships, it promotes different resources and uses other people’s experiences to help cultivate a wellness program. Every department has a mission and it should coincide with the university’s and so we want to help out the mission. Amanda stated that they will start a website. Emails will be sent out from a wellness collaborative and not specifically from one person.
   c. Deanna continued that since almost everything on campus seems to be all inclusive, why not have a collaborative? The website will make
sure that everyone in the campus community knows about the various
events that are available including fitness classes which are open to
everyone whether you have a membership or not to the TRAC, Health
Services, Smoking Cessation, and there will be a kickoff event this fall
with information about dealing with weight loss, disease management,
etc.

d. Amanda stated that they will send out a campus email about the
collaborative, and that JT Timmons (TRAC Director) had started one
before at his previous school. The collaborative will not send an email
to the entire campus about activities but instead by having people buy
in and ask to be included on the distribution list as opposed to sending
email to the entire campus each time.

e. Lori commented on how the collaborative will know if there are any
gaps in offerings.

f. Amanda and Deanna stated that collaborative will do it (assess if there
are gaps) and reminded us that JT Timmons has done it previously.
They also restated that there would be a kickoff event this fall possibly
with a fun run/walk and a health fair. For right now they are trying to
gather more support and make sure everyone can participate and not
just staff.

h. Barbara was concerned that a distribution list may limit participants
since only those on the distribution list will receive information.

i. Aaron stated that other contributors to the collaborative do send out
e-mails to the entire campus like the HR email/announcements, Health
Services, and other groups.

j. Deanna commented that they are starting at the leaders of the campus
like Senate, SGA, APAC, etc., and that they eventually would like to
meet people at department meetings to discuss the wellness collaborate
and have a personal relationship. Hopefully all of these initiatives will
get the word out to the entire campus.

5) Old Business
a. APAC Campus Wide Meeting
   i. Jerry reviewed the last campus meeting and asked for
      feedback.
   ii. Jerry thinks that the time of year was a good time to catch
       people at a less busy time.
   iii. Jerry thanked people for participating.
   iv. Barbara thanked Natalie for taking notes.

6) New Business
a. Research Board
   i. Jerry handed out a report from Lenore Killam who is the AP on
      the Research Board Committee.
ii. Jerry stated that he will reach out to other committee members to give a report once a year.

iii. Jerry presented information from Teresa Szabo on the ROAD Committee, and they will be making some changes to their bylaws and wanted to let us know and will give us an update later.

b. APAC Districts

i. Jerry commented that some of the designations are out of date. For example, the building formerly known as CBM has been changed to WUIS. Additionally there are no more offices in Blue Bell anymore. Furthermore, Founders Hall will have a new Resident Director.

ii. Barbara stated that she emailed Kim Hayden for a new list of APs on campus. Barbara continued that maybe we should review the list to see if the distributions have changed? Barbara also noted that she will provide this AP list to Clay.

iii. Jerry will send out the distribution list and have APAC members review and discuss at the next meeting.

iv. Jerry stressed the importance of holding district meetings. He also stated that with this new list, district reps should be able to hold a district wide meeting. He asked for district reps to send out a report from the APAC meetings from each of their own points of view to APs in your district.

v. Barbara stated that if anyone needs help with their district meeting and since she is a member-at-large, she can help hold meetings with any district reps.

c. Accumulated vacation/sick time

i. Lori stated that an AP contacted her, and she has talked to Wes about it. One issue was that an AP that had left had accumulated 50-54 vacation days. The AP thought he should have been paid out for all vacation days. However, APs can only be paid out a total of 48 vacation days. Additionally, he was a Civil Service (CS) employee prior to his position as an AP. Moreover, he had accumulated vacation days from AP employment and CS employment.

ii. Lori continued that HR has previously paid out all days. But she has learned there is a discrepancy because CS can be paid out 56 days but the way APs are paid, they can only be paid for up to 48 vacation days.

iii. Lori continued that in a separate circumstance an AP that is retiring mid-year was using the vacation paid out to elevate his/her salary to receive a larger pension check (but not under the money purchase formula). Thus, allowing 56 days would create a larger pension.
iv. However, Lori continued that U of I only allows up to 48 days to accumulate or count for payout for APs while other SURS members may allow up to 56 (which is twice the amount of days earned per year as an employee since CS can earn up to 28 days each year).

v. There is a new exception in NESSIE that if you accrue time as a CS employee prior to becoming an AP then you can use up to 56 total days for pension and payout purposes.

vi. A statement was made that maybe we should push for a number of days we can accrue or use towards retirement?

vii. Patti said maybe we could get more accrual but not more cash paid out. She stated that maybe there should be a push for more floating holidays?

viii. Jerry stated the Non-compensation Committee has received suggestions and that most suggestions are for membership for TRAC and parking.

7) Committee Updates

a. CRC – Dick Schuldt
   i. CRC didn’t meet but will send membership list to Clay.
   ii. He continued that a survey should go out by the end of the month. This was the survey about the impact of staff cutbacks and ideas for cooperation / productivity.
   iii. Supervisor Development Survey
      1. Email went out about the results of the survey and there was a high return of survey. The data was reported in aggregate form. The report did note where there were significant differences between CS and AP results. He continued that overall results were positive but there are some places that training may be used to remedy. He stated that both CS and AP supervisor emphasizes quality of service.
      2. Wes stated that he could not have been more excited about results and has a meeting set up with a training consultant next week. He said he has support from the Chancellor’s cabinet.
      3. Jerry asked if the committee is going to look at a more formal structure of supervisor evaluation.
      4. Wes stated there is a draft of 7-8 questions to look at a 360 model of that evaluation was given to the committee members, but they decided to wait and continue with what they did last fall for now. Additionally, the committee stated that the best thing was that it was anonymous.
      5. Jerry stated that anonymity has two sides. If it is that you can say anything then there’s no accountability.
6. Dick stated that the next challenge is to get the supervisors to come to training;
7. Wes rebutted that HR has not ruled out mandatory training.

b. Campus Senate – Lori Giordano
   i. Lori stated that Campus Senate met once on 2/13. Lori stated that Pat Langley, Chair, said that the ad hoc committee will review the language to the constitution soon. Lori asked Jerry about sending out a formal request to Pat to have a meeting with her and John Martin.
   ii. Lori continued that Harry Berman gave an update on the budget situation but that UIS is still waiting on State of IL Budget.
   iii. Lori stated that Pat reported on Faculty in grad programs that need support in recruiting and centralized recruiting at the graduate level. Harry, though, felt that the current decentralized format of recruiting was adequate.
   iv. Lori noted that there was a small discussion on fees for graduate students taking just one class and international student tuition and fee structure.
   v. Lori stated that INO which is now LNT will have a graduate program online in the fall but it is still available on campus. Additionally, that the MIS undergraduate degree will start at 2010 and was approved.
   vi. Lori stated that there were comments that collegiality be part of the tenure review.

c. APAC Website
   i. Clay requested updates on memberships of committees. He then distributed a handout and a discussion ensued.
   ii. There was a discussion on notification terms and rights. It should be noted that UIS usually does not shorten contracts but if an AP has a year notice rights, that AP will have at least one year left from the time of notification of non-renewal. If an AP only has 6 months’ notice rights, then that AP will get until the end of your contract plus the balance of any of the notification rights remaining time.

d. CSAC
   i. Bryan stated that CSAC is gearing up for the staff auction and is still interested if anyone would like to help with setup. He reported that Andy Egizi is doing a good job as our AP Rep.
   ii. Bryan said that there were questions about our open meeting and that CSAC might consider to having a CSAC campus meeting. He also stated that they are working on their website.

e. Professional Development/CAPE – Barbara Cass
Barbara said that the Professional Development deadline was 5 PM on 3/11 and that she received 26 requests for $16,700 but they only have $12,000 to award. She continued that 5 people had to return the award because they had inability to get matching funds from their department. She said the committee will meet on 3/23 and make announcements by 4/1 on awards.

ii. Announcements on CAPE went out once already. Another CAPE notification will be sent out again this week with 9 nominations already. The event is on 4/30. Please come out to support your fellow APs.

8) Public Comments
   a. None

9) Adjournment
   a. Barbara motioned to adjourn.
   b. Shawn 2nd
   c. Motion approved. Meeting adjourned at 10:46 a.m.

Next meeting –April 9, 2009, 9:00 a.m. Brookens 204D