

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

MINUTES
CAMPUS SENATE MEETING
AY 2011-2012
FRIDAY, October 21, 2011
10:00 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.
PAC CONFERENCE ROOM “H”

Senators Present: D. Ruez, J. Villegas, Y. Zhang, X. Li, A. Sisneros, T. Helton, P. Salela, W. Kline, C. Hoelscher, J. Tienken, R. Bouray, S. Miller, D. Ballard, A. Mulvey, D. Bussell, J. Case-Pease, D. Schmidt, L. Fisher, R. Garmil, P. Wassenberg, J. Martin, K. Sheridan and T. Ting presiding.

Senators Absent: E. Wilson, L. Atkinson, P. Boltuc, C. Switzer, G. Simo, K. Jamison,

Ex-Officio: Lynn Pardie

Guests: Deborah Anthony, Harsh Bapat, Evan Stanley, Alissa Groeninger, Rashonda Williams, Wendy Johnson

Approval of the Agenda

The meeting was called to order by Ting at 10:00 a.m. A motion to approve the agenda made by Ballard and seconded by Villegas carried unanimously.

Approval of the Minutes

A motion to approve the minutes from the October 7, 2011 Senate meeting was made by Zhang and was seconded by Salela. The motion to approve the minutes carried unanimously. Minor corrections were indicated by Fisher, Salela, Helton, and Li.

Announcements

Martin announced the Star party tonight 8-10 pm.

Salela announced the haunted library tonight beginning at 8:30 pm

Martin announced the Convocation in CLAS UHB 2038, 3- 5 pm.

Pardie announced the Webner Symposium on the Lincoln Legacy and Contemporary Scholarship will be starting this evening and runs through tomorrow with a number of outstanding speakers lined up.

Reports

Chair- T. Ting

Ting reminded Senators about next Fridays Senate special meeting with President Hogan, October 28 from 10:30 to 11:30 in PAC conference room G. This will be an open meeting.

Ting provided an update to the search for the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs & Provost position. At this point, the Chancellor has received the recommendations for consideration for the potential members of the search committee. The final committee members should be announced next week. Also, the deadline for completing the RFP for the search firm was this past Monday. The Chancellor is currently working with HR at the UA level to select the search consultant firm. The Chancellor anticipates the first meeting with the search committee to take place before November 1 or shortly after.

51 Part of the November fourth Senate meeting will be devoted to discussing the enrollment management &
52 services report.

53
54 The University-wide climate survey will be launched October 26. T. Ting reminded senators of the
55 importance of getting as much response from the UIS community as possible. She has bookmarks for
56 senators if they would like them to pass out to students.

57
58 There will be a brown bag lunch in the library conference room on November 1 at 12:00 PM to discuss
59 the digital humanities research grant proposal. This is an opportunity open to faculty in Springfield and
60 Chicago and Dean Treadwell is interested in getting faculty input.

61
62 There will be a faculty workshop regarding student perception of teaching at UIS on Friday from 1:00
63 until 2:30 PM on November 18. The workshop will cover new database features.

64
65 Ting reported on a *News Gazette* article which reported the amount of money owed by the State of Illinois
66 to state universities as of September 8, 2011. Nine public universities are owed more than half a billion
67 dollars including the University of Illinois (\$305.98 million); Southern Illinois University (\$80.81
68 million); Northern Illinois University (\$45.61 million); Illinois State University (\$37.37 million); Western
69 Illinois University (\$25.49 million); Eastern Illinois University (\$20.88); Chicago State University
70 (\$16.11 million); Governors State University (\$14.96 million); Northeastern Illinois University (\$46.01
71 million).

72
73 **Provost – L. Pardie**

74
75 Pardie reported that at this point the state of Illinois owes the University of Illinois \$392 million, with \$233
76 million of that being from last year. UIS is owed \$1.5 million of that. Budgets will be planned
77 accordingly in preparation for whatever we might have to do.

78
79 Fisher asked if there is a certain amount of money being set aside, a certain percentage value.

80
81 L. Pardie indicated that that was being coordinated across all three campuses, and that it is likely that
82 something will be announced in the next few weeks regarding the process.

83
84 **Student Government Association - E. Wilson**

85
86 External vice president Ballard reported on behalf of Wilson. The SGA has developed a memo for the
87 IBHE-SAC about how performance-based funding might affect UIS and students at UIS. The memo was
88 well received, and will be used as part of their testimony at the IBHE meeting. Chancellor Koch also
89 forwarded the letter to President Hogan.

90
91 The SGA executive committee met with the Chancellor yesterday to discuss student needs. The
92 Chancellor will be working with the SGA on a number of events.

93
94 Next Wednesday is the annual fall lobby day which will coincide with the labor unions' protest day, so
95 they are hopeful that they will get a lot of face time with legislators.

96
97 The SGA will hold a drawing for an iPad 2 for students who complete the University-wide climate
98 survey.

99
100 Pardie announced that the Provost's office will also be providing a number of incentives to each
101 constituency group on campus for completing the survey.

102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152

Committee on Committees –J. Martin

Martin brought forth a nomination for the ROAD committee position vacated by Nithya Karrapuswamy. The nominee, Te-Wei Wang, Associate Professor of MIS, was unanimously voted in for a three year term to the ROAD committee.

USC Report - J. Martin

J. Martin reported on the USC meeting held October 18, 2011. The IBHS performance-based funding initiative was discussed. Charlie Evans, Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs came to talk with the USC about performance-based funding. Information including past presentations and potential metrics can be found on the IBHE website. In his presentation, Evans advised members to look at the metrics in terms of how they can best be used or what additional metrics need to be added to put individual campuses in the best light. The time for arguing whether or not the metrics should be used or will be used has passed.

He reminded USC members that the status quo has returned within a few years in other states where performance-based funding has been implemented. Further, the IBHE views the University of Illinois as one entity, not as three separate entities.

Garmil asked if there is a way to say each campus will have its own set of metrics.

Martin indicated that that is the hope. President Hogan has been appointed to a committee that will be examining the metrics selected for the University of Illinois.

Ting indicated that there will be some that are similar across campus such as graduation and retention rates. Some metrics will be different, such as proportion of nontraditional students, as was pointed out by the SGA.

The USC also discussed ST-76, the UIS resolution regarding amending the statutes to codify the rotation of USC leadership across the three U of I campuses. The resolution will now be forwarded to the UIUC and UIC campus senates along with USC motion. The November 17 USC meeting will take place in Springfield. The meeting is open to the public. Ting requested to be contacted by e-mail by senators interested in attending so she can contact the USC administrative assistant to ensure adequate seating.

Old Business

None.

New Business

Resolution 41-18 Faculty Personnel Policies Article 2 --Clarification of Personnel Committee Election Procedures [1st reading]

A motion to consider resolution 41-18 was made by Ballard and was seconded by Li. Anthony introduced the first reading explaining there was a potential conflict in Article 2 of the policies regarding the election procedures of college personnel committees. This resolution clarifies that these decisions will remain with the discretion of individual colleges. In the event that a college does not have procedures regarding the election of college personnel committee members, section B1 of Article 2 will be the policy to be followed.

153 Fisher asked if the Personnel Policies clarified whether or not the election would have to be by the entire
154 faculty, as this may not be guaranteed if the election were to be held on-line.

155
156 Ting clarified that the concern would be in the event that a college's bylaws did not include language that
157 the entire faculty must be included if electronic voting is used.

158
159 Kline asked if it would be possible to ask the PPC to bring forth a separate resolution to clarify who the
160 electorate would be; thereby separating that issue from the current resolution's aim to provide policy for
161 colleges which do not have such policies regarding election procedures in the by-laws.

162
163 Sisneros suggested that the resolution include separate language for hand written balloting versus e-
164 balloting.

165
166 Bussel asked for clarification of lines 29-31, specifically how long is the term of the CLPC? What
167 happens when a member is up for review, should they then step-off the committee?

168
169 Ting clarified that a replacement would be found for the one year that a CLPC member had to resign
170 because they were up for reappointment or tenure or promotion. But this may be different across colleges.

171
172 Sineros recommended that the results of the votes for CLPC be publicized.

173
174 Pardie commented that this is an interesting question to possibly be considered by the Senate, and the
175 question would be: what do we gain additionally by publicizing the vote counts?

176
177 Ting requested that the PPC take into consideration the issue of how and to what extent campus-level
178 personnel policy influences college level processes of elections for CLPC, particularly how written
179 balloting and e-balloting are comparable in terms of fairness.

180
181 Li commented that we may also want to consider the situation where there are inconsistencies between
182 personnel policy and college by-laws.

183
184 Garmil brought up the idea that it may be that colleges may want to govern this process independently.

185
186 Ting requested that PPC revise the resolution and bring forth an amended resolution for senate
187 consideration. She requested that the Senate reach consensus on what changes might be made by the
188 PPC. The issues include eligibility to serve on the CLPC, the appropriate faculty electorate, and the extent
189 to which campus-level guidelines are used as a minimum safeguard for college level practices.

190
191 **Resolution 41-19 Faculty Personnel Policies Article 10 -- Modification of Scholar in Residence Title**
192 **[1st reading]**

193
194 A motion to consider Resolution 41-19 was made by Garmil and was seconded by Zhang. This resolution
195 attempts to provide for the designation of additional in-residence titles across campus units.

196
197 Zhang made a friendly amendment to change the language in the second whereas of the resolution from
198 certain adjunct faculty to certain disciplines.

199
200 Kline suggested that a change be made to line 43 from scholar in residence to "in-residence" faculty.
201 However, further reading of the language suggests such a change is probably not necessary.

202

203 **Resolution 41-20 Establishing Policies Related to Majors, Minors, and Concentrations at the**
204 **Undergraduate Level [1st reading]**

205

206 A motion to consider Resolution 41-20 was made by Miller and was seconded by Li.

207

208 Bapat reported that there currently are not any definitions for majors, minors, and concentrations. The
209 UGC brought forth this resolution to allow for the policy to publish these descriptions and definitions in
210 the catalog copy as well as on the Academic Affairs website.

211

212 The following friendly amendments were made. 1) The title of the resolution be changed to *Establishing*
213 *Guidelines* from *Establishing Policies*; 2) Line 1 of the resolution be changed to *Whereas UIS does not*
214 *have guidelines specifically articulating*; 3) changing lines 13-14 to read, *therefore be it resolved that the*
215 *Campus Senate of the University of Illinois at Springfield approves having guidelines related to Majors,*
216 *Minors and concentrations at the undergraduate level*; and, 4) to change the word policy to guidelines
217 throughout the document.

218

219 **Adjournment**

220

221 A motion to adjourn was made by Mulvey and was seconded by Martin. The motion carried with
222 unanimous support and the meeting was adjourned at 11:57 p.m.